

July 23, 1943

+ Copies

A BRIEF ANALYSIS OF THE RELOCATION PROGRAM AND ITS PROBLEMS

Prepared by the Chicago Staff for the Evac. & Res. Study

Some characteristic adjustments of resettlers

In any attempt to understand the problems of adjustment among evacuees who relocate in Mid-Western communities, at least three characteristics of their mentality should be taken into account. First, the newness and strangeness of Mid-Western communities to most West Coast Japanese produce a mental state filled with confusion and uncertainties, as well as apprehensions mingled with hope, about the life they are entering upon. Second, there is a strong consciousness of themselves as "evacuees", or as persons of Japanese ancestry who are at the moment the most suspected group in the United States, and this self consciousness is a source of inhibition that obstructs free action and thinking. Third, the continual barrage of accusations against the group, particularly since the widespread publicity of the Dies Committee Hearings, is a source of constant irritation and feelings of insecurity, and because the evacuees are least able to defend themselves against this type of attack, the inevitable consequence is a feeling either of helplessness or of frustration. Apart from these subjective factors influencing the adjustment of resettlers, however, there are also numerous practical considerations that influence their behavior. There are the problems of re-establishing themselves economically following the disaster of evacuation, saving funds for the sake of future security, finding a place in American society, and relocating parents and relatives who still remain in the centers. The point that requires emphasis is

that the resettler starts his new life with a series of very real and difficult problems confronting him, and whether he is consciously aware of them or not is not entirely a matter of importance for he is sooner or later required to take cognizance of them.

The consequent reaction among resettlers as they enter upon their new life is an initial confusion as to how they should start off in the new community and of what they may expect from the majority group. There are those who arrive with extremely high *hopes* of the goals they will achieve, and these individuals invariably encounter serious disappointments before they are able to re-adjust their view to correspond with real conditions; there are those who arrive with an initial skepticism or cynicism about fair dealings at the hands of the majority group, and every adverse experience is regarded as added evidence to support their initial pessimism. In the majority of cases, however, there is a suspension of judgement and anticipation with the thought in mind that problems may be met as they arise, but this state of mind hampers the use of foresight and prevents the planning of action to make for maximum efficiency of adjustment. Because of this confusion and absence of foresight as well as a lack of understanding of the problems that are likely to arise, there is, in general, a considerable time spent among most resettlers in trial and error adjustments as they seek to clarify the possibilities in the new community.

Most evacuees relocating to a Mid-Western community, then, in a sense enter upon an area that is to them a vacuum and in which new patterns of life must be drawn. But coupled with this absence of expectations about their new life, there is also a

considerable pressure of feeling that adjustments must be made quickly. In part this pressure arises from the high cost of living during the first week or more immediately following their departure from the center. Perhaps equally important is the urge to "get started again" after a year of stagnation in the relocation center, and to make up for lost time. Finally, there is the subjective need to re-establish life routines that were familiar to them in pre-evacuation days, or some other routines that would prove satisfactory to them. While there is, on the one hand, this pressure to make quick adjustments in the new community, there are, on the other hand, the innumerable barriers of prejudice that most resettlers are unprepared to contend with, and the personal problems created under these circumstances are inevitable sources of feelings of insecurity, frustration, and restlessness.

Suggested programs to aid adjustment

If this analysis represents ^{with accuracy} ~~anything like~~ the condition that is to be found among resettlers in this region, it seems desirable that certain programs should be initiated in the W.R.A. field offices, especially in metropolitan centers.

(1) To minimize the initial confusion and the consequent tendency towards random behavior, there should be a more thoroughgoing program of education for resettlers to inform them regarding what they may expect in the process of relocation and of how it is desirable for them to conduct themselves. This education may very well begin in the relocation centers where informed

persons may be used as counsellors to prospective resettlers to tell them of problems they should be aware of, and of possible ways of dealing with these problems. A booklet informing resettlers about the procedure of relocation, suggestions on modes of travel, agencies that will aid resettlers, and even suggestions regarding conduct, may be of value to them.

At the receiving end of relocation, it seems necessary that a careful counselling program be initiated in the W.R.A. field offices. Perhaps due to the W.R.A. policy on relocation, but also because of the shortage of personnel, the field offices have taken on the characteristics of "employment offices". The need of the resettler, however, is for a more personalized relationship in these offices, advice on his problems, and a sympathetically offered encouragement towards greater independence. This is not to say that the policies of these offices are at present contrary to these aims; it is ~~only~~ a question of emphasis. Counsellors should be chosen preferably from persons of Japanese ancestry with training in social welfare, or from others with good judgement and understanding about human problems. The number of these counsellors should be adequate to give more than passing attention to individual resettlers, and to have time for follow-ups on difficult cases. The addition of a few such workers should facilitate the general work of the offices if they are properly placed in the office system, and should reduce the chances of undesirable conduct from maladjusted individuals.

There are reasons to be concerned about the amount of job shifting and evidences of irresponsibility that is apparent among

ceratin groups of resettlers. In part the tendency to change jobs arises from maladjustments in the jobs in which resettlers are first placed; equally as often the maladjustment is only indirectly with the job and more directly in the social life of the resettlers. The counselling program should be of aid in getting individuals to think out more clearly what they want to do, and in seeking out work that best fits them. At the same time it should be recognized that a certain amount of random searching is inevitable among a people that is attempting to find their place in a new community. With regard to irresponsibility, it is in part the result of inability to define goals, frustrations in seeking opportunities, absence of security, and in some cases the lack of intimate contacts. It should also be borne in mind that in many immigrant Japanese families the nisei were never taught to assume important responsibilities for it was the custom that parents should make the final decisions. Wise counselling should be of aid to individuals in helping to solve their personal problems and advising them on their responsibilities.

There are some cases in which the need is for concrete financial assistance rather than mere counselling. If possible, it would be desirable that the W.R.A. should provide a more ample resettlement grant than has hitherto been given.

(2) Much concern has been expressed by agencies interested in relocation about the problem of integrating resettlers into American society. The need for a greater degree of assimilation into American society among people of Japanese ancestry is unde-

niable. In the case of the Issei it is doubtful that any further assimilation can be achieved at this late stage, but there is both a need as well as a possibility of furthering assimilation among the Nisei. Some organizations have suggested elaborate programs for undertaking this task, and there is the notable instance of a plan to form "transitional" organizations of nisei to facilitate this work. But the wisdom of such ambitious programs of assimilation may be questioned on various scores; the history of assimilation in other immigrant groups leads to the conclusion that assimilation takes place as a slow and more or less unconscious process.

However, it seems not amiss to constantly propagandize the desirability of assimilation, and to maintain a persistent education of nisei towards the goal of integrating themselves into American society. A wise counselling program could be of aid in this matter, and this is perhaps as much as the W.R.A. should undertake in formally organizing a program of assimilation.

(3) Little consideration seems to have been given, thus far, to the maintenance of health among resettlers, but most resettlers are arriving in the Mid-West with insufficient funds to meet the contingencies of ill health. The field offices might be of aid to resettlers by advising them of various hospitalization and health insurance plans that they may subscribe to at low cost.

(4) Not a little demoralization is developing among resettlers because of discriminatory attitudes among the majority group in regards to employment, housing, and education. In the city of Chicago, several cases have already appeared where re-

settlers are accepted by landlords and then later are told to move out because of the pressure from neighbors. There are other instances in which resettlers are accepted, but later are asked not to have evacuee visitors in their home. There is a need for the W.R.A. to give more attention to these problems of housing, not only to give stronger support of the resettler tenant's case, but also to steer resettlers away from undesirable places of residence.

Regarding public school education, the Chicago School Board has a ruling that school children whose parents or legal guardians are not living in the city must pay non-residence fees of \$18 a month. For evacuee school children whose parents are still in the centers, this ruling not only constitutes a barrier against attendance in the public schools, but it is unjust since their choice of residence here was not entirely voluntary. Although the W.R.A. as well as individual persons have contested the ruling as applied to evacuee school children, ~~but~~ the only reply of the School Board has been that these cases will be treated individually. Thus far, not a single case has been ruled upon favorably, and it seems that their decision was merely a way of sidetracking the issue. If the W.R.A. cannot gain a more favorable decision from the Chicago School Board, some means of subsidizing evacuee school children must be found or a great number of them will find it difficult to continue their schooling.

Concluding remarks

It seems that the W.R.A. policy on relocation to this time has been influenced by certain important purposes:

- (1) to resettle evacuees as rapidly as their loyalty is determined, and as rapidly as American communities are prepared to receive them.
- (2) to wean the evacuees away from the W.R.A. as rapidly as possible, that is, to reduce evacuee attitudes of dependence which presumably were the results of their life in the centers.
- (3) to promote the absorption of evacuees into the normal stream of American life as far as feasible.

~~These, it seems, are among the dominant aims of W.R.A. field representatives, and~~ there seems no reason to question the desirability of attempting to realize of approximate these aims.

The difficulty of this program, however, lies in striking a favorable balance between pushing the program too fast or of restraining it too much. For example, there is the question of the rapidity with which evacuees may be relocated in American communities without arousing hostility among the majority group already established there; and there is the question of whether to wean evacuees away from dependence upon the W.R.A. almost immediately after employment has been established for the resettler, or whether to maintain some control over them for a period of time.

From the standpoint of the resettlers, the latter point is a matter of some concern, and the general policy followed by the field offices of "throwing evacuees on their own" as quickly as possible is a source of resentment among them. While admitting the theoretical desirability of giving independence to resettlers as completely as possible, as well as the practical difficulty of maintaining supervision over any large number of

resettlers with a limited personnel, there are important reasons for urging a more careful supervision over resettlers than has hitherto been given them during their initial period of adjustment. The assumption underlying the present W.R.A. policy in this regard is apparently the democratic idea that freemen, whether they be resettlers or otherwise, should have the right to seek their own way, within the limits of the law, without interference from the Government. But the fact that the Government exercised control over the people of Japanese ancestry by evacuating them from the Pacific Coast and placed them in a unique category among the people of the United States has created a condition that does not permit of closing the case once an evacuee has been relocated and employment has been given him. The stigma of the label "evacuee" continues beyond the day a person leaves the relocation center, and sets barriers for him that may continue beyond the duration of the war. Viewed in this light the complaint of some evacuees that the W.R.A. does not give sufficient sympathetic attention to their individual problems is not alone a plea arising from self pity or personal weakness. There seem to exist genuine and serious difficulties for the resettlers which they are incapable of overcoming by themselves.

If the problems of resettlers among people of Japanese ancestry be realistically considered, it is not sufficient to treat them as any other group of Americans once they are outside the centers. Ideally it is desirable to treat them as Americans, and it is a goal for which to strive, but practically speaking

there are special problems of the group that are distinguished from the problems of other groups. These resettlers must be handled as would be any other ethnic group in America, with an understanding of their cultural background, their psychological predispositions, and the unique experiences they have had in America. This means that the relocation program may not be merely a program of scattering people and of finding employment for them; it points to the need to consider more deeply and realistically what will be the probable consequences to the lives of a people who undergo a massive involuntary movement from one part of the country to another, and to treat the problems of change and adjustment as an organic whole.

The suggestion is that the W.R.A.'s conception of the relocation program needs to be enlarged. Obviously, this view fails to take account of the practical difficulties on the side of the W.R.A. that stands in the way of establishing a more ideally suited relocation program. This report is offered, therefore, not in any spirit of condemnation, but with the hope that it may aid in evaluating the work that is being accomplished.

CITIZEN MALES

T 1,840

Med.		0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	NR	Total
24.4	Dec.					4	2	1								7
23.0	JAN.	2			1	15	4		2							24
23.5	FEB.		1	1	3	18	9	2	1							35
24.1	MAR.	1		1	8	36	18	11	1		1				2	79
23.8	APR.	5	2	2	25	117	66	19	7	3					9	255
23.0	MAY	2	5	1	40	100	52	28	11	4	1		1		4	249
23.1	JUNE	9	8	2	60	179	71	30	14	3	1	1			1	379
22.8	JULY	8	2	5	40	73	32	21	8	3		1				193
21.3	AUG.	6	3	2	73	58	22	20	10	1	2	2			2	201
22.7	SEPT.	5	3	2	33	38	18	14	10	4						127
24.4	OCT.	9	4		9	36	20	22	6	1		1				108
23.2	NOV.	4	1		15	53	21	6	4	3						107
	DEC.															
		51	29	16	307	727	335	174	74	22	5	5	1		18	

CITIZEN FEMALE

Med.		0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	NR	Total
22.8	DEC.				2	8	3									13
23.5	JAN.				1	13	6									20
22.8	FEB.	4			8	18	12	2								44
22.6	MAR.			1	5	39	11		1						1	58
22.9	APR.	7	1	1	32	79	41	11	2						3	177
22.9	MAY	8	2	3	20	106	33	12	3						2	189
22.6	JUNE	10	2	4	32	98	39	6	4		1	1			1	198
22.8	JULY	7	1	1	26	53	31	5	3	3						120
22.3	AUG.	5	1	3	35	64	25	8	4	1					1	147
21.6	SEPT.	6	2	1	37	47	21	7	1							122
22.6	OCT.	8			21	49	19	7	2	1					2	108
22.0	NOV.	10	3	2	19	49	17	7	4	3						107
	DEC.															
		65	12	16	238	623	258	65	20	5	1	1			19	

623

ALIEN MALES

Med.

1
0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 NR

JAN.

52.5

FEB.

2

1

1

50.0

MAR.

4

1

1

1

1

38.3

APR.

16

1

2

1

6

1

4

1

51.8

MAY

33

3

3

3

10

7

5

2

52.0

JUNE

32

2

4

6

10

5

4

1

53.3

JULY

22

1

4

2

6

6

2

1

54.5

AUG.

48

1

2

2

1

6

5

8

12

6

2

1

2

2

NR

57.1

SEPT.

48

2

3

3

1

1

8

14

11

4

1

50.0

OCT.

28

3

2

5

4

4

8

2

54.5

NOV.

42

1

1

3

6

3

8

13

3

3

1

DEC.

1

2

7

11

20

30

25

55

70

34

11

5

2

2

NR

ALIEN FEMALE

Med

0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 NR

JAN.

FEB.

38.3 MAR.

6

1 3 1 1

40.0 APR.

89

1 1 2 1 2 1 1

47.5 MAY

13

2 1 1 2 1 3 3

50.0 JUNE

18

2 5 2 4 2 1 1 1

45.6 JULY

15

2 1 1 1 2 4 3 1

48.2 AUG.

37

4 2 1 1 6 7 11 2 2 1

49.5 SEPT.

32

1 2 1 5 8 4 6 3 2

46.7 OCT.

16

1 1 5 3 2 2 1 1

48.7 NOV.

9

3 2 2 1 1

DEC

1 12 4 7 9 30 30 29 16 8 7 1 1

.4
 5.7
 2.6
 4.0
 7.3
 11.0
 9.2
 20.2
 25.6
 12.5
 4.0
 1.8
 7
 100.0

but a marked difference between
 the male & female tendencies to subside

Litigator
 Male Female
 No Med No Med No Med No Med
 Total No age No age No age No age

.00076687 1304/1.000000 9128 8720 7824 8960 7824 11360 10432 9280	.00076687 65 383435 460123 .04984655 .0007669 238 61352 23007 15338 1825222	.0007669 12 15338 7669 .0092028 .0007669 623 23007 15338 46014 4777787	.0007669 16 46014 7669 122704 .0007669 258 61352 38345 15338 1978602
--	---	--	--

.0007669 65 38345 46014 .0498485	.0007669 20 .0153380 .006493 154/1.000000 924 760 616 1440 1386 540 462	.0007669 5 .0038345 .006493 .025972 .006493 18 52944	.006493 7 .045451 .006493 9 .58437 .006493 12 12986 6493 .077916
--	--	---	--

3
 5.0
 .9
 1.2
 18.3
 47.8
 19.8
 5.0
 1.6
 .4
 199.9

.006493 30 194790 1.65 7.79 2.60 4.55 5.84 19.48 19.48 18.83 19.39 5.29 4.55 .65 199.10	.006493 29 58437 12986 188297 .65 12 130 65 780 .65 16 390 65 1000	.006493 116 38958 6493 103888 154/30.0000 154 1460 1386 740 616 1240 1232 .80 .65 4 4.55 5.85 19.50 130 1885	1103.9 154/16.0000 154 600 16
--	--	--	---

$$\begin{array}{r}
 .07782 \\
 154 \overline{) 12.000} \\
 \underline{1078} \\
 1220 \\
 \underline{1078} \\
 1420 \\
 \underline{1386} \\
 340 \\
 \underline{0454}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 154 \overline{) 7.0000} \\
 \underline{1616} \\
 840 \\
 \underline{770} \\
 700
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 .0519 \\
 154 \overline{) 8.0000} \\
 \underline{770} \\
 300 \\
 \underline{154} \\
 1460
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 .03628 \\
 154 \overline{) 4.000} \\
 \underline{304} \\
 960 \\
 \underline{924} \\
 360 \\
 \underline{304} \\
 560
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 .0584 \\
 154 \overline{) 9.000} \\
 \underline{1770} \\
 1300 \\
 \underline{1232} \\
 680
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 .02584 \\
 154 \overline{) 4.0000} \\
 \underline{308} \\
 920 \\
 \underline{1178} \\
 1500 \\
 \underline{1386} \\
 1140 \\
 \underline{1078} \\
 620
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 .0458 \\
 .006493 \\
 \hline
 .05199
 \end{array}$$

*

	CITIZEN						ALIEN					
	No	Male %	% Total	No	Female %	% Total	No	Male %	% Total	No	Female %	% Total
0-4	51	2.92	1.47	65	4.98	1.87						
5-9	29	1.66	.83	12	.92	.35						
10-14	16	.92	.046	16	1.23	.46						
15-19	307	17.57	8.83	238	18.25	6.85	1	.36	-	1	.65	-
20-24	727	41.67	20.94	623	47.78	17.94	2	.73	-	12	7.79	.33
25-29	335	19.18	9.63	258	19.79	7.42	7	2.56	.20	4	2.60	.12
30-34	174	10.00	5.00	65	4.98	1.87	11	4.03	.32	7	4.55	.20
35-39	74	4.24	2.13	20	1.53	.58	20	7.33	.58	9	5.84	.26
40-44	22	1.26	.63	5	.38	.14	30	10.99	.86	30	19.48	.86
45-49	5	.29	.14	1	.01		25	9.16	.72	30	19.48	.86
50-54	5	.29	.14	1	.01		55	20.15	1.58	29	18.83	.83
55-59	1	-					70	25.64	2.01	16	10.39	.46
60-64							34	12.45	.98	8	5.19	.23
65-69							11	4.03	.32	7	4.55	.20
70-74							5	1.83	.14	1	.65	-
75-79							2	.73	-	-		
NR.	18	1.0		10			2			1		
	1764	99.9		1314			275			155		
	1746			1304			273			154		

Median 23.23 yrs 22.75 yrs 22.58 yrs 53.68 yrs 47.04 yrs 1318

1765

275

145

3503 ✓

27
3476

.0002876

No. of persons for whom departure notices were received indicating their intended arrival in the Chicago Metropolitan Area, by sex & month. Sept. 1, 1942 - Jan. 1, 1944.

<u>Month</u>	<u>Males</u>		<u>Females</u>		<u>Total</u>
	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	
Sept.	2	100.0			2
Oct.	2	50.0	2	50.0	4
Nov.	1	33.3	2	66.6	3
Dec.	7	35.0	13	65.0	20
Jan.	24	54.5	20	45.5	44
Feb.	37	45.7	44	54.3	81
Mar.	83	56.5	64	43.5	147
Apr.	271	59.3	186	40.7	457
May	282	58.2	202	41.8	484
June	411	65.5	216	34.5	627
July	215	59.9	145	40.1	360
Aug.	249	57.5	184	42.5	433
Sept.	175	53.2	154	46.8	329
Oct.	136	52.3	124	47.7	260
Nov.	149	56.2	116	43.8	265
Dec.	202	72.4	77	27.6	279

2246

1549

No. of persons for whom departure
 notices were received indicating
 their intended arrival in the
 Chicago Metropolitan Area, by month
 & citizenship

Month	Citizens		Alien		Total
	No.	%	No.	%	
Sept.	2	97.5 100.0			2
Oct.	4	100.0			4
Nov.	3	100.0			3
Dec.	20	100.0			20
Jan.	44	100.0			44
Feb.	79	97.5	2	2.5	81
Mar.	137	93.2	10	6.8	147
Apr.	432	94.5	25	5.5	457
May	438	90.5	46	9.5	484
June	577	92.0	50	8.0	627
July	323	89.7	37	10.3	360
Aug.	348	80.4	85	19.6	433
Sept.	249	75.7	80	24.3	329
Oct.	216	83.5	44	16.5	260
Nov.	214	80.7	51	19.3	265
Dec.	228	81.7	51	18.3	279
Total	3314		481		3795

Needless to say, there have been far more citizens than alien Japanese resettling to Chicago. Until January, 1944, ⁸⁷ out of every ~~100~~ ¹⁰⁰ resettlers have been citizens.

Needless to say, there have been far more citizen than ^{alien} evacuated resettling to Chicago. 87 out of every 100 resettlers ~~have been~~ ^{were} citizens in the period up to January, 1944. The peak of citizen relocation is reached in June, 1943, for both the males and females; for the aliens, the peak is not reached until August.

Needless to say, there have been far more citizens evacuated than aliens resettling to Chicago. Up to January 1, 1944, 87 out of every 100 resettlers was a citizen. The peak of relocation for citizens is reached in June, 1943; for the aliens, the peak comes in August, 1943. The outward migration of citizens has been greater and earlier than for aliens. On the other hand, the relocation to Chicago of the aliens has maintained itself at a more constant level than in the case of ~~the~~ citizens for whom a much more noticeable decline appears ~~by~~ during the fall and winter months. It is apparent that

that citizen evacuees were more eager to leave the centers than were the aliens, and that the centers have ^{already} ~~been~~ ^{largely} been drained of those citizens interested in relocation while the out-migration of aliens is taking place at a slower but steadier rate.

The picture of this migration differential between the citizens and aliens is more clearly brought out by a comparison of the monthly proportion of relocation between the two groups.

(Include table showing percentages of citizen & alien relocation by months.)

The early migration to Chicago was almost strictly of citizens, but there has generally been ~~a slow~~ an increase in the proportion of alien migration to Chicago. Part of this alien migration is a result of resettlement by ^{male} singles, but ~~perhaps~~ there is an increasing tendency for resettled wives (citizens) to call out their parents, which adds to the proportion of alien resettlement.

Most of the historic migrations of ^{the world} ~~history~~ have been led by males, and ~~it has~~ the migration of females to the same area has ~~been~~ followed after the passage of some time. In the case of resettlement to Chicago, there has not