

57:13

Paper from Smith College

1943

ALSO AVAILABLE ON MICROFILM

86/97c

Yoshi,

This is a graduate paper. It is  
my opinion that you think. I should  
be most grateful for a copy of  
this.

C. R. B.

P.S. I am entirely aware of the labor  
and clarity of thought involved in  
bringing forth this coherent analysis.

Almost: Distinction

A very good paper except for the fact that your references  
to the Springfield experiment and your own experiences  
are not properly integrated. W.K.

Some Recent Approaches to the Field of Intercultural Education  
in the Schools of America

Yoshi Uchida

Education 41

December 7, 1943

## Introduction

Although the term intercultural education is one which is comparatively new to the field of American education, it in reality signifies a process as old as civilization itself, and in scope is as broad as all of life. It is only one phase in the broader education in human relations and is inextricably woven into all that goes into the making of human society. It should be viewed as an education for the development of loyalty to the ideals of true democratic living; for the development of cooperation, fair play, and respect for ability. Only through such education can a concrete effort be made towards alleviating the intergroup conflicts which exist in the United States today. There are varied interpretations of intercultural education, and many ways in which the subject is introduced in the school program. In the ensuing pages I attempt a brief survey and analysis of the three most commonly employed methods of approach to this field. Namely:

1. The approach which emphasizes the contributions of other cultures to our American culture.
2. The approach through a critical analysis of existant minority group problems and prejudices against these groups.
3. The approach through the cooperative activity of a group towards a common objective.

Before such a discussion can have meaning, however, a knowledge of the general state of affairs regarding minority group problems in the United States is necessary. I shall therefore discuss this latter problem briefly before going on to a survey of the approaches to intercultural education.

I use the term cultural group meaning a group sharing a common culture

in the sense of common social institutions, language, habits, beliefs, customs, etc. The term minority group, I employ in its qualitative, rather than in its quantitative sense, that is, the group who lack power and privilege and who consequently suffer political, social, and economic discrimination.

Because of the lack of written material concerning this field, Mr. Clifford Bragdon kindly offered to be a "living source book" for me. I am deeply indebted to him for his assistance in guiding my thoughts and in giving me insight and understanding in a field which has thrilled me with its dynamic potentialities.

In a world threatened by the tyrannical forces of Totalitarianism, the Democracies are today engaged in a titanic struggle for existence. "By way of the hard, inescapable alternative of death or survival, out of the wide and desperate character of the challenge of these times, democracy confronts her greatest moral opportunity, her biggest historical chance to win acceptance, the world over, as the full way of life." 1

This crisis in civilization, this struggle of ideologies between the forces of freedom and the forces of tyranny and oppression in which the major moral issue is the parity of all peoples, has resulted in strengthening and clarifying America's aims and ideals. The United States as one of the leading upholders of the democratic way of life has come to realize that she must "put her own house in order" or hypocritically fight for a cause which has already been lost at home. In order to fulfill the commitments which we have made to all the peoples of the world looking toward a new world order of peace and freedom, we must first erase the many paradoxical situations which abound in our own country.

Alain Locke states, "The more we define [our] world position and policy, the more paradoxical our race attitudes and traditions will in contrast become. Dictates of expediency may reinforce, at long last, the dictates of conscience." 2

In the face of these realizations, America has surveyed her own democracy and has found it tragically failing to be living, real, and functional. Prejudice and discrimination which reflect Nazi ideologies are shown against racial, ethnic, religious, and socio-economic minority groups to an astounding degree, and race tension is mounting constantly with terrifying and ominous speed. These current antipathies, are products of America's past, are

---

1. Alain Locke, "The Unfinished Business of Democracy," Survey Graphic, XXXI (November 1942) 456.

2. *Ibid.*, p.459.

tragically deepening and coming to a crisis today.

In a paper of this length, I could not hope even to begin a survey of our many complex minority group problems and their implications in a global war focused on racial issues. The problems of the immigrant nationality groups are diminishing with time, and their 3rd and 4th generation problems are now tending to become general problems of all people. With certain ethnic and racial minority groups, however, time is of no avail, for physical characteristics prevent complete assimilation, and inescapable color lines are drawn against them. The problems of the Negro, the Jew, the Oriental, and the Mexican-American groups would fall into this latter category.

Our treatment of the thirteen million Negroes in the United States is one of the central moral problems of this war. "...the question has moved around from a back yard domestic issue to a front porch issue for all the world to see." 3. The economic, political, and social discriminations against the Negro, steeped in the historical roots of slavery, have resulted in his segregation in both civilian and military life, in growth of poverty and its accompanying evils, and in the lack of opportunity for advancement for the majority of them. These have bred in the Negroes a bitter resentment and an increasing determination to attain equality - a determination which is gaining impetus daily. The Negro of today is eager to assert his rights. This in turn has aroused a corresponding reaction among many "whites" who are determined that he shall not have these rights and equalities. Negroes are being barred from many vital war industries, the Southern Bloc in Congress is fighting the effort to extend democratic suffrage by abolishing the poll tax, and politicians continue to run for office on anti-race issues. This bitterness, hate, and tension over the Negro situation has roots in those very conditions that would make a Nazi victory not an impossibility. We see an ugly

---

3. Ibid. p.458

and shameful picture of the treatment of our numerically largest minority group, one which would and must arouse every intelligent, thinking American to action now.

This same Southern pattern of discrimination can be seen re-echoed in the West and South-West against the Mexican-Americans. Their resentment and bitterness is evidenced in a seething hatred among them for all Americans. The Zoot Suit riots are merely surface indications of a frustration and bitterness which goes deep into fundamentals. The Mexican-Americans are a group, who, having lost touch with their old culture, and yet not being accepted as Americans, find themselves lost and without a niche in life. Such a situation is certainly an unhealthy one for any group of people.

Anti-Oriental agitation, and legislation in the form of immigration bans is also a large part of our past. For years, the agitation of economic groups against the Chinese and Japanese was based on the supposed threat of these races to the standards of labor on the West coast. Because of Pearl Harbor, anti-Oriental agitation today has taken on new aspects in its emphasis. Its full fury is centered now against the Japanese, but the position of the Chinese has not basically changed a great deal. Economic pressure groups, not satisfied with the evacuation of 70,000 Japanese-Americans from the West coast without trial or hearing, are now stimulating artificial prejudice in the area. Racist pressure groups are clamoring for the removal of citizenship rights from Japanese-Americans and are asking for their deportation after the war. Groups such as the Costello sub-committee of the Dies Committee are attempting to transfer hatred of Japan to the Japanese in the United States.

The 4,500,000 Jews in America are also helpless victims of the racist groups in the United States. Always a favorite scapegoat in European history, the Jews have suffered, and will probably continue to suffer, the longest and most bitterly of all minority groups. Anti-Semitism, directed against the

so-called "race" of Jews (rather than against the Jews as an ethnic or religious group,) the very incarnation of Fascist racism which we are fighting, is cropping up in the United States as one of the powerful weapons of economic reaction.

And what of those millions of men, women and children discriminated against? What attitudes develop in people who are continually suppressed, facing slights, unable to find equality of opportunity, unable to find respectable jobs and decent homes? Certainly the sense of inferiority, and insecurity, of frustration, of callousness, and cynicism make for unhealthy tendencies often evidenced in anti-social behavior. These lead only to cultural deterioration, disintegration of family life, and maladjustments in social life, all of which ultimately work against the development of a strong and unified America.

The implications of these and other minority groups problems are certainly clear,

"This war is more than a war against the Axis. It is a war against any who would destroy us....Fascism lurks every where like the hidden germs of deadly disease....There are germ carriers of fascism in every nation. Those who harbor race prejudice are germ-carriers of fascism....All who secretly or openly scorn the rights of human beings are germ-carriers of fascism. It is these whom we must discover and deprive of their power." 4

Not only must we discover and eliminate such people, we must strive to create others who will uphold the ways of democracy and apply these not only to economic, political, and social life, but to intangible human relations as well. Americans can no longer afford to be complacent about the attitudes and action of her people toward her minority groups, for not only are the discriminatory actions and prejudices providing Nazi propaganda material when the eyes of all the colored people of the world are upon us, but they

---

4. Pearl Buck, "What America Means to Me", Common Ground, 111 (Summer 1943), 9.

are definitely undermining our national security, and preventing constructive social development.

"We are living in days of a new creation, in a world where distance shrinks in terms of time, and time brings every neighborhood into one worldhood."<sup>5</sup> For America to be ready to assume her role in the establishing of a new worldhood of peace and freedom, she must first attain a durable national unity. William W. Wattenburg states,

"This can only be done if all of us learn new attitudes of understanding and fair play and express those attitudes by actions which will provide a genuine basis for mutual confidence. In attaining such objectives all institutions will have a part to play. To the educational institutions falls the major task of developing in young people those attitudes which will insure the permanence of a democratic way of life."<sup>6</sup>

Education must be democracy's chief bulwark in educating the youth of today in the principles, practices, advantages, and obligations of a democratic way of life. Educators cannot afford to stand by to see racial and cultural prejudice jeopardize or prevent the coming of a durable peace.

In the light of the dominance of these interracial and intercultural conflicts in our society, American educators are becoming increasingly concerned with race, culture, and intercultural relations, and their bearing on an education for democracy. They are realizing more and more, the importance of the role of the school in combatting the inter-group hate, tensions and bitterness. Intercultural education as it is conceived and practiced in American schools today, is based on two theses: one, that the conflicts between the diverse racial, religious, and ethnic cultural groups which are diffused throughout our country are threatening the well-being of individuals and the unity of the nation, and two, that these conflicts can be alleviated or eliminated by a carefully planned educational program. Such a program strives

---

5. Everett Ross Clinchy, Editorial, *The Journal of Educational Sociology*, XVI (February 1943) 321.

6. National Council of Teachers of English, Americans All, Washington D.C.: The Department of Supervisors and Directors of the National Educational Ass'n, 1942 p.25.

to find a balance between cultural assimilation and cultural pluralism, to attain a cultural democracy which will at once insure national unity and also permit cultural variation. It proposes the theory of extending the principles of constitutional democracy to race and culture group relations, and works for a free interchange of cultural traits, while at the same time maintaining that these should be guided toward democratic goals. The propositions of cultural democracy in turn lead to broad aims for an education for democracy. As outlined by Vickery and Cole, the aims of intercultural education are:

1. To promote national unity by introducing all citizens to the beliefs, loyalties and practices essential to democratic living in the United States. 7
2. To bring both minority and majority group behavior into conformity with democratic practices; and especially to make personal merit and ability rather than identification with particular racial, religious, ethnic, and socio-economic (groups) standards by which individuals are judged and rewarded.<sup>8</sup> (The latter half of this aim should be the keynote on which intercultural education is based.)
3. To develop an understanding of and appreciation (and respect) for cultural differences, so that the best aspects of every culture represented in America may be preserved. 9.

The ideal would seem to be a preservation of the plurality of sub-cultures bound together by common ideals and practices. Louis Adamic, in his book From Many Lands states,

We need to cease eyeing one another uneasily and take a positive approach to meeting on common ground. We need to take stock of our resources, embark upon self-discovery, self-appraisal and self-criticism, and come into our rich and varied cultural heritage of democracy and the arts, of courageous and cooperative living. 10

What are some of the efforts being made in schools to achieve these

---

7. William E. Vickery and Stewart G. Cole, Intercultural Education in American Schools. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1943. p.37

8. Ibid. p.41-42

9. Ibid. p.49

10. Louis Adamic, From Many Lands, New York: Harper and Brothers 1940, From the introduction.

aims of intercultural education ? What methods and procedures are being used? Let us examine the three most common approaches which are being made to the subject.

There are two methods by which intercultural education can be introduced to the school curriculum. The first is the unit method. This method would set up a unit of work on intercultural education in a specific class , limiting its practice in time and space. If, however, intercultural education is conceived as the learning of a way of life, of living cooperatively and fairly, then by limiting it to certain periods of time and day, it fails to have any meaning or achieve results in changing fundamental attitudes. In the second method, the incidental or occasional one, every opportunity to develop healthy, sane and fair attitudes toward other people and other cultural groups is utilized as the occasion presents itself, in any class or at any time. This would seem to be the only way in which intercultural education could really have any meaning. Hymen Alpern states, "Since it is generally agreed that character training is caught rather than taught, the educator and the school can best combat undesirable cultural attitudes by indirection." 11. He goes on to say however, that "While education to develop cooperation among diverse racial elements should be incidental, it must not be accidental." 12. Educators and students alike must have definite plans, specific goals, methods, and activities which are a continuous process throughout the year.

Secondly, there are two techniques which are being employed in intercultural education. The verbal technique: namely, reading, writing, and speaking, or the non-verbal technique: immediate contact with people, things, and situations through personal experience. In truth these two techniques

---

11. Hymen Alpern, "The Role of High Schools in Improving Intercultural Relations," The Journal of Educational Sociology, XVI (February, 1943) 363.

12. Ibid. p.364.

are inseparable, and support each other. However, attitudes applied to real life situations and given opportunity to function through behavior patterns in appropriate situations are so much more vital and therefore more extensively learned, that there is little question as to the advantage of the non-verbal techniques.

Thirdly, many educators are realizing that they must project themselves realistically into the child's world and level, and proceed from there out. In other words, the ego-centric child (or for that matter, many an adult), is interested in other people or things only as they interest and affect him, or more often only as they are of use to him. There is a need for educators to "get down to earth" in their approach. Injunctions such as "love thy neighbor as thyself" are mere generalities which usually mean no more than a mouthful of words to a youngster in real situations. In order to get the most effective results then, the problem must be approached with the immediate interests and prevailing attitude of the child in mind.

Let us now examine the three major and most commonly employed approaches to intercultural education today.

The first approach is that which lays emphasis on the contribution of other cultures to civilization. This approach capitalizes on the various contributions made by other cultural groups to enrich the cultural heritage of America. Typical procedures are: 1. Assemblies or programs in which talented members of a racial or cultural minority group might perform, or panels where they might have opportunity to speak. 2. The biographical approach, that is, emphasizing the contributions of outstanding members of minority groups in the arts, science, literature, public affairs, religion or sports. 3. Exhibits of folk arts and handicraft of different cultures. 4. "All-Nations" festivals and pageants. 5. Learning the folk dances, songs and music of other countries. 6. Excursions

I believe you underestimate the importance of this approach. If large sections of the community share in inter-cultural undertakings - such as the Folk Festivals in Minneapolis - they can be most effective.

or field trips to "foreign sections", religious institutions, or segregated racial areas, etc. This method, unless carefully planned and controlled, can easily result in creating unfavorable instead of favorable attitudes.

7. Movies and radio programs of various cultural folk ways and ways of living.

These procedures are all well and good for temporary pleasure and perhaps learning, but are they of real value? Are these not merely superficial, colorful, surface learnings which emphasize the picturesque and qualities of other cultures? Because a child hears a Negro singer perform, or because he enjoys Japanese food served in a quaint Japanese restaurant at an All-Nations Festival, will his fundamental attitude towards Negroes and Japanese be changed? Will these experiences influence his attitude towards having a Japanese family living next door to him, or will they arouse even a faint consciousness of the scope of the problems of our 13 million Negroes? It hardly seems possible, especially if this type of experience is to be his dominant contact with members of other racial and cultural groups.

The danger in this approach is that of creating in the average American child the attitude that other cultural groups are extraneous trimmings, something outside of, and added on to their own American way, for this approach so often tends to stress the differences rather than the similarities of various cultural groups.

If this approach were to be used in a classroom situation, its success or failure would be determined by the composition and prevailing attitude of the class. Attempts to emphasize and preserve the culture of a minority group should be made only insofar as such an emphasis would help the members of such a group to make better personal and social adjustments. Just how far deliberate emphasis and perpetuation of differences in cultural patterns should be carried, depends entirely on the demands and needs of each particular situation.

It can thus be emphasized here and now that no matter what approach a teacher intends to employ, she must realize that her classroom situation is a unique one, differing from every other in its composition. She must therefore first study its peculiar needs and attitudes, and the relation of these to community needs and attitudes before she can embark on a successful approach to intercultural education.

Let us proceed to the second commonly employed approach. This approach would emphasize the teaching of methods whereby youngsters would learn to analyze critically and objectively their own attitudes, actions, and prejudices, and learn to see the stupidity of prejudice in an interdependent economy as eventually detrimental in the light of self interest. Facts of existing minority group problems are faced squarely and an attempt made to create an active desire to combat existing wrongs.

Typical procedures are: 1. Informational reading, to acquire facts about minority group problems which would affect attitudes and emotional attachments. 2. Formal talks and lectures about current minority group problems. 3. Oral and written reports. 4. Panels, forums, and class discussions. 5. Creative writing as a means of self-expression. Subject matter for discussions and panels might be ~~on~~ topics such as the following: What is foolish about prejudice? What can we do to eliminate it in ourselves? What are the real facts about minority groups in the United States? What is the composition of American people? What is American culture? In other words, such an approach would develop the skill of thinking critically, logically, objectively, and constructively about existing social problems.

This approach, however, can only be introduced gradually as the need arises and as the children have the ability and maturity to grasp such

concepts as race, discrimination, minority groups, prejudice, culture, etc. Too, this method could be employed only where the focusing of attention to such problems would not be embarrassing to members of minority groups who might be present in the class. Although this approach is realistic and fundamental, it is extremely doubtful whether knowledge of facts and a realization of the foolishness of prejudice will actually keep a youngster from discriminatory actions. So often such action is performed unconsciously, without realization of its divorce from ideals to which a child may pay lip-service. This approach thus has definite limitations which condition its use to certain specific groups only, and even then, its effectiveness may be doubtful.

Let us go on to examine the third approach which can be employed. This approach emphasizes the value in the cooperation of various groups toward a single end. Based on human worth, and the respect of an individual for his ability, this approach emphasizes the positive values accrued through sharing an experience and working together for a common objective. Differences of race, color, or creed have little meaning when all are working towards a common goal, with common interests and purposes. Typical examples of this approach can be seen in: 1. School or class projects such as a large panel or frieze, a play, or a program, or any unit of work in which children of all groups work together with a common purpose. Here the children have opportunity to get to know each other as co-workers, and learn to respect each individual for his personal merits, his ability, and his contribution toward the common objective. 2. Extra-curricular activities such as special interest clubs, or committees where a heterogeneous group work and play together in cooperation and with common interest. Athletic activities also provide excellent opportunities for cooperation, team work, and the development of group unity. 3. Among

younger children, mere playing together or working together in building blocks involves similar cooperation and team work.

This approach would seem to be a practical and direct method of actually developing respect for individuals on the basis of their abilities and personal merits regardless of race, color, or creed, and of really getting to know members of minority groups by working, living, and playing side by side with them. Here too, we are on the child's emotional level of self interest, for a group of varied children would be at work together on something which each wanted vitally, but could not do alone. For example, the abilities of a Negro broad jumper and a Jewish pole vaulter may be needed to win a coveted school athletic pennant. Surely these youngsters will be respected on the basis of their ability and will be considered team mates rather than members of a minority group.

This approach appears sound and workable, but what of the many children who have never had a Negro, a Mexican-American, a Jew, an Oriental, or any other members of minority groups in their classes? What of the children who will never have the opportunity for such contacts? Here again we see that the particular composition of the class and the pupil needs and attitudes would determine the success of this approach as applied solely to intercultural group relations. This approach has the greatest possibilities of the three, however, if intercultural education is viewed as education in human relations, for Cooperation, fair play, and team work are ways of behaving, living, and working which if learned as the ultimate best way of living, can be transferred from inter-personal relations to inter-group relations and vice versa. In this sense, this third approach could apply and be beneficial to any group irrespective of its composition. If such an approach fails to change fundamental attitudes, however, its purposes may succeed only within the walls of the

school room. For example, the same children happily at work on a project in school with Negroes, Jews, or Japanese, may rebel at the thought of bringing them home, or to church, or to a party. When attitudes are transferred to action, the weaknesses in the teaching of these attitudes are often revealed.

Having surveyed the three commonly employed approaches to intercultural education, I can come to only one definite conclusion, that there is no ideal or best way of approach to intercultural education. We may say that the learnings must be <sup>based on</sup> a combination of the verbal and non-verbal techniques, and must be given opportunity to function through experience; that intercultural education must be introduced throughout the in-school and out-of-school curriculum and based on total school cooperation; that fundamental attitudes, understandings and skills must be reached, but beyond this, we cannot generalize. Any procedure must be adapted to the immediate conditions of the community and the specific needs of the pupils. Each community, school and class room situation is so utterly different from the next, that no one fool proof method of approach can ever suit the needs of all. This must be realized by every class room teacher. Each community and school and class room situation must be analyzed in terms of the following:

1. The proportion of the minority groups to the majority.
2. The composition of the dominant group. Their attitude and behavior towards members of the minority groups.
3. The composition of the minority groups. Their attitudes, behavior patterns, standards, and economic status.
4. The degree of race and culture conflicts present. Prevailing economic, social, or political discriminations.

Faint, illegible text covering most of the page, likely bleed-through from the reverse side.

Examples

5. In the class room, the age level of the group.

The importance of studying community attitudes and behavior patterns is obviously a necessity because of the tremendous influence of adult opinion in molding a child's attitudes and behavior patterns. There are children who come to school with the idea that all Negroes are lazy and slovenly, that no Jew can be trusted, that all Japs are treacherous and sly, merely from adult influence. The task of the educator is just as much to reach out into the adult world. Educators must reach adult minds and combat adult prejudices and discriminations, for it is the adult world that implants prejudice and hate in the minds of youth.

In an ideal situation, complete approval and support from parents and the community in general would result in a community-wide program of intercultural education. In such a situation, P.T.A. sponsored activities, adult education programs, and activities of any other adult organizations, be it religious bodies or a labor organization, should provide opportunity for members of all cultural groups to work together in the light of mutual interests in common goals. For youth, out of school youth groups such as the YMCA, YWCA, Scouts, the Church, and other groups could do much to promote better understanding and foster better inter-group relations. In order to approach such an ideal, every possible community resource should be drawn out in a cooperative effort with the schools. This, however, must be accomplished gradually and with great care, only as the mind of the community becomes receptive to the ideals of intercultural education, for any hasty attempt is more likely to produce reactions against it.

The experiment in Springfield, Massachusetts is an example of a situation where active community and administrative approval and support are facilitating a program of intercultural education. There, a community of many racial and national culture groups has provided a concrete

either elaborated or omitted  
altogether!

situation where experiences in better inter-group relations can actually function, and is encouraged by the interest and support of both community and administration. Although lofty objectives and aims have been established and much publicized, observers of the program in action feel that although a promising start has been made, there is much yet to be done.

Let me go back for a moment here, to the importance of the age of the class group in influencing the attitude and behavior patterns of the children. This factor implies the importance of adjusting methods and approach to the level of the child's development. As illustration, let me cite my own experiences as a member of a racial minority group. I can recall no consciousness, either on my part or on the part of other class members, of my being "different" during my years in elementary school. There were several other racial and ethnic groups represented in our school, but we worked and played side by side utterly oblivious of any cultural differences. Cooperation and fair play were standards set for all and each individual was respected on the basis of his personal merits and abilities. All children regardless of race, color, or creed had opportunity for equal social standing, and favorable relations were not limited to in-school activities. I felt this attitude diminish gradually but surely as I progressed in school, and by the time I was in High School I was definitely conscious of my being Japanese. In social functions and out-of-school activities definite color lines were drawn. This is an extremely difficult period because of the increased "exclusive group" feeling on the part of the dominant group, and the increased sensitivity of the minority groups. As ~~the~~ children grow, there is an increase in discrimination against socio-economic as well as cultural minority groups. In college this whole feeling is merely accentuated by the system

of sororities and fraternities. In the University which I attended, these organizations excluded Negroes, Jews, and Orientals from membership. This naturally resulted in the formation of a Jewish Fraternity, a Chinese Students Club, and a Japanese Students Club which carried on social functions of their own. Criticisms of clannishness were often leveled at these organizations, but these students were merely expressing a human desire to belong to a group in which they felt secure and where they could feel that they were a vital part.

Thus, with increase in age, we see personal relations and inter-group relations take on increasingly complex aspects. The educator's task increases with each rising age level.

In my opinion, personal contact is one of the basic and essential keys to fostering better inter-group relations irrespective of the age of the group. It is the unknown that is feared, mistrusted, and misunderstood. If more people could have the opportunity to actually know members of other racial or cultural groups as persons, much could be done to foster better group relations, for with knowledge and friendship of a person or group come understanding, respect and new insights. When people of other races and cultures can be seen essentially as other human beings moved by the same forces, having the same needs, desires, hopes and aspirations; when divergent cultures can be seen merely as different expressions and formulations of the same human process, then, understanding and respect are on their way. XII

It seems to me that the whole-sale evacuation of the Japanese Americans from the West coast, although a result of military necessity and the action of economic and racist pressure groups, was also an indication of the public's fear of the unknown. Perhaps if more Californians had known the Japanese-Americans as persons, public opinion against them might not

have been one of the influential factors in the evacuation.

There is a problem in the fact that those very people who most need such personal contacts and understandings are those who have no opportunity for them. It is no wonder that children and adults who have never known a Negro, or a Jew, or a Japanese, as persons, have only those conceptions of them that are distorted half-truths acquired from prejudiced minds, and that these in turn lead to more prejudice and discrimination.

If intercultural education is to achieve its full potentialities it must reach every person in all walks of life at every age. It must be viewed as something bigger than a subject confined within the four walls of the school or limited to certain times of specified days. It is more than that, and it is more than mere tolerance or inter-group harmony. It must be conceived as only one phase in a broader education in human relations. It must be seen as a dynamic way of living in which cooperation, team-work, fair play, and respect for ability wherever it is found, are inherent attitudes. The task of the entire school force is so to guide and pattern the understandings, insights, and attitudes of the children that existing loyalties can be channelled and expanded to this way of life as the ultimate best way of living. Only as these fundamental attitudes towards relations with fellow human beings affect behavior patterns in actual situations can the effort be considered successful. When this way of true democratic living does become a part of our youth, then, inter-personal conflicts are lessened, and by the same token, inter-class, inter-cultural, and inter-national conflicts are being ameliorated, for basically these all rise from the same psychology of human needs.

Intercultural education thus becomes something which has deeper significance than domestic harmony of diverse cultural groups. It

implies the development of a harmonious spirit of cooperation in our own nation, which in turn is a step towards the development of a similar harmony in the larger international and world-wide scene. It has been emphasized that if equality of opportunity, order, and harmony can be established in our domestic affairs, then there is a prospect for equality, order and harmony in our international relationships. Education for inter-personal and inter-group cooperation thus means an education for eventual world-wide cooperation upon which a durable peace can be established.

The task of the American educator is indeed a great one, and one which calls for immediate action. Intercultural education in the United States is still on shaky foundations. It is still in experimental stages and, as I have attempted to show, there has been and can be no one best technique or method. Many teachers have failed <sup>to</sup> grasp its full meaning and are engrossed in the superficialities of pageantry, succeeding only in the promotion of tolerance rather than true understanding. To launch on a successful program of intercultural education, the teacher must perceive it in its broader aspects, and must thoroughly reorient his ways of thinking and acting to its concepts and ideals. Not only must he be a person with "breadth of vision and magnanimity of spirit," he must strive for high ideals, integrity, complete freedom from prejudice, and "by his conduct, exemplify what is best [and democratic] in human relationships." 13.

The task of our schools is so to guide the future generations of Americans that they will be able to bridge the gap between democratic principles and practices. They must learn to perceive democracy not only merely as a political principle, but as a living way in our social and economic spheres. They must apply its principles to the organization of all institutions and to the intangible relations between human beings.

---

13. Hymen Alpern, ibid. p.367

The coming generations of Americans must be able to face the world with a clear conscience and a united front. Although we are far from attaining these ideals, the mere fact that there is a growing realization for the grave need of such education is an encouraging sign. The challenge facing the educators of Americas is a great one. If it can be met successfully, then we have come one step closer to attaining a new world order based on peace and freedom.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Books

- Adamic, Louis, From Many Lands. New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, 1940.
- Benedict, Ruth, Race: Science and Politics. New York: Modern Age Books, 1940.
- McWilliams, Carey, Brothers Under the Skin. Boston, Massachusetts, Little Brown and Company, 1943.
- Mead, Margaret, And Keep Your Powder Dry. New York: William Morrow and Company, 1942.
- National Council of Teachers of English, Americans All. Washington, D.C.: The Department of Supervisors and Directors of the National Education Association, 1942.
- Vickery, William E., and Stewart G. Cole, Intercultural Education in American Schools. New York: Harper and Brothers Publishers, 1943.

### Pamphlets

- Gould, Kenneth M., They Got the Blame. New York: Association Press, 1942.

### Periodicals

- Adamic, Louis, "America is a Magic Name," The Journal of Educational Sociology, XVI (February 1943), 327-28
- Alpern, Hymen, "The Role of High Schools in Improving Intercultural Relations", The Journal of Educational Sociology, XVI (February 1943)
- Benedict, Ruth, "Differences vs Superiorities", Frontiers of Democracy, IX (December 15, 1942) 81-82.
- Buck, Pearl S., "What America Means to Me," Common Ground, III (Summer 1943) 3-10.
- Clinchy, Everett Ross, Editorial, The Journal of Educational Sociology, XVI (February 1943), 321-23.
- Cole, Stewart G., "Cultural Democracy in War and Peace", The Journal of Educational Sociology, XVI (February 1943) 332-34.

- Collier, John, and Saul K. Padover, "An Institute of Ethnic Democracy", Common Ground, IV (Autumn 1943), 3-7.
- Frank, Lawrence K., "World Order and Cultural Diversity," Frontiers of Democracy, IX (October 15, 1942) 12-14.
- Halligan, Alice L., "A Community's Total War Against Prejudice," The Journal of Educational Sociology, XVI (February 1943) 374-80.
- Johnson, Charles S., "Race Against Humanity," Social Action, IX (January 15, 1943), 7-19.
- Kilpatrick, William Heard, "Conflict Areas in American Intercultural Life," The Journal of Educational Sociology, XVI (February 1943) 340-44.
- Locke, Alain, "The Unfinished Business of Democracy," Survey Graphic, XXXI (November 1942) 455-59.
- McWilliams, Carey, "Race Tensions: Second Phase," Common Ground, IV (Autumn 1943), 7-12.
- Severson, Alfred L., "What is Prejudice?" The Journal of Educational Sociology, XVI (February 1943), 344-48.
- Silver, Abba Hillel, "America's Minority Groups in War and Peace," Social Action, IX (January 15, 1943), 30-37.