

PILOT STUDY OF THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE OLSW (GEORGE MCLAIN)

Summary and Discussion

Berkeley, Calif.
May 31, 1952

by Frank A. Pinney

The Problem

This is, above all else, a study in political participation. We wish to know, very simply, what makes people join the McLain organization. Beyond this, we would like to learn what gratifications they derive from their membership (if any); thus, in a back-handed sort of way, we are attempting to gain some insight into the problems of one segment of the old-age population. Underlying our interests are, unmistakably, certain preoccupations with social betterment: we wonder whether the problems of these old people might not be solved by some other means, less expensive to them and perhaps the community at large.

Since these are our interests, the following discussion of the pilot study results will concentrate on these two points: attributes associated with participation, and the "therapeutic effect" of membership.

The original questionnaire was constructed with two sets of hypotheses in mind. The first set, derived from the frustration-aggression hypothesis, led us to expect that participation in the organization would go with the more acute cases of unpleasant experiences at the end of the occupational career and in relations with the social services; the organization would be seen, by these people, as a fighting outfit. The second set postulated some sort of social need which would induce people to join for the sake of having company; these people would be more "isolated" than others of similar condition, and they would see the institute primarily as a means of social intercourse. Examination of the data will show that there is no basis for further use of these hypotheses.

For this reason, the data presented in the attached table have been organized according to a new hypothesis. According to this hypothesis, the McLain organization should be regarded as neither an instrument of aggression nor as a means of social intercourse, but as a status conferring in-group having the following peculiarities: (a) the bond which holds the membership together is ideological rather than personal, (b) the organization dispenses salvation for a consideration, (c) the organization relieves its members of responsibility, yet maintains in them a feeling of activity and self-respect.

The questions which are raised by this hypothesis are as follows: (a) What makes for a particularly strong status drive among older people? (b) Which older people are willing to incur rather large expenses in money and energy in order to attain status? (c) What accounts for the fact that these people tend to rephrase their problems in ideological terms instead of searching for practical solutions? (d) Why do they "escape from freedom"?

Univ.
JAC:K

RECEIVED
OCT 18 1952

Concerning these questions, I shall try to offer some hypotheses in the final section of this summary. The following summary of the findings will present what prima facie evidence there is for the new hypothesis.

Involvement

Since, for the time being, we have no control group for comparison, our only means of testing hypotheses is to compare groups having differential degrees of involvement in the organization. These groups are labelled X-0 and 1 through 5 across the top of the attached table. It was found convenient to use the size of the financial sacrifice incurred by the member as an indicator of involvement. The meaning of the involvement classification is as follows:

- X-0 Those who state that they are not members or do not state that they are members and do not state that they have made any voluntary contribution.
- 1 Those who have contributed up to \$2.00 as either subscription to the paper or voluntary contribution.
- 2. Those who have contributed up to \$10.00 per year as membership fee and/or contribution.
- 3. Those who have contributed more than \$10.00 per year as membership fee and/or contribution, but not as payment on a life membership.
- 4 Those now paying instalments on a life membership (\$5.00 per month.)
- 5 Paid-up life members. (These are also among the highest voluntary contributors.)

All items in the attached table have been numbered for easy reference. Each line containing figures is an "item". Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers are to be read as percent of the people in the groups marked across the top of the table.

The "items" have been selected from approximately 60 separate tables. They were chosen in such a way as to give the best summary expression of the main trends or differences which I was able to detect in the tables. Where one line from a table did not seem to give an adequate picture, several lines were chosen and listed as separate items. A question mark following a figure signifies that this figure is probably much too low because of a large number of non-responses. This applies to practically all figures in the X-0 group.

Items 1 through 5 validate the involvement index used, since they show strong correlations between the index and other measures of involvement. Worthy of note is the "anomalous" behavior of group 4 (paying on life memberships) in items 3 and 4. These people collect signatures much more frequently than other groups, but they go to meetings less than either groups 3 or 5. The explanation is that work for the Institute is a means to attaining status -- the life members, having attained status already need not employ it as much as those now paying on life memberships. On the other hand, meeting attendance may be a means of status enjoyment; hence the life members go to parade their golden buttons while these who are still striving stay away: sour grapes or waiting for the Day.

Status feelings and therapeutic effects of membership

Item 25 shows that giving up one's last job is a shock to nearly everyone. Nearly half the people admit that they felt "very bad". Whether this "bad feeling" relates to the loss of activity or else to the loss of job status is a matter of conjecture. I am inclined to believe the latter, since none of the questions related to work evoke any strong responses indicating wide-spread desire for creative expression or a regular job routine.

The number of people "feeling bad" is ~~slightly~~ highest in group 3 (although all differences are small on this question). Generally, group three may be regarded as the most "active" group, the group most eager to reconquer the status they held before becoming pensioners. See their responses on items 48 and 50. These people, although large contributors, do not buy memberships because they still prefer the "real" status they enjoyed (or strove for) in the outside world to the synthetic status which McLain has to offer. As they are sucked into the organization (i.e. into life membership), their work orientation tends to be supplanted by an in-group status orientation.

Generally, people also feel bad about applying for the pension. Our figures do not adequately express their feelings of shame and humiliation, partly because the alternatives from which they could choose were ill worded, partly because this shame is something people will rarely attest in public. Items 26 through 32 show the therapeutic effect of membership. For all groups except group 4, there is a difference of more than 20% between those who were glad when they applied for the pension and those who are glad to have the pension now. This difference is largest for the life membership group. Group 4 contains the largest number of people who state they were glad when applying and the smallest number of people who state they are glad to have it now. I doubt that all these figures should be taken at face value, i.e. as a reliable self-rating of respondents as to their feelings then and now. Rather, I think that those respondents who do not say that they were glad when applying but say that they are glad now attest to a felt change in their attitude toward the pension. The largest number of people who have felt such a change taking place is among the life members, the smallest among those now paying on life memberships. For the people in group 4, membership has had the effect of making the step of applying for the pension easier, but they do not yet make the difference between applying for the pension and living with it. Also, as item 29 shows, many people in group 4 have not yet learned to rationalize about their pension by adopting the more ideological argument of "entitlement". Note that for this group the difference between "felt entitled" and "feel entitled" is larger than for any other group, which is to say that they are in the process of assimilating the ~~McLain~~ ideology of the organization. This group is also distinguished by the fact that there is no difference in the number of those who "wanted to work" when applying and those who want to work now (items 31 and 32), whereas all other groups contain some people who have experienced and admit to a decided change in their attitudes toward work.

Activity, self-help and dependence

As indicated before, the need for a status conferring group membership seems to arise out of feelings of humiliation. Loss of the last job and the necessity of "going on relief" may, in themselves, be humiliating experiences for many people; but it is quite possible that these events merely serve as emotional foci for much more general feelings of inadequacy and waning self-respect. Among the more involved respondents, there appear to be many who are unable to solve their problems by "ordinary means", i.e. by remedial action on their own part or by turning for help to those who are close to them.

Thus, respondents in groups 4 and 5 are less likely to have moved within the last 5 years even if they are dissatisfied with their residence. Members of group 3, the "doers", are much more likely to have moved, but they are still dissatisfied (item 47). Item 48 suggests that this inability to take remedial action is not a new characteristic of the life member group: they are much less likely than people in other groups to have looked for work after losing their last job. Item 49 shows that the life member group contains the largest number of people who stopped working because of ill health. But this does not explain the differences in the proportion of people looking for work after losing their 1st job, since group 3 also contains a large proportion of people who quit working for health reasons. Evidently, there are either some differences in the two groups' definitions of what constitutes ill health, or else group 5 has re-interpreted and rationalized the experiences surrounding the last job. Item 50 shows that group 3 people worked longer (after age 65) than people in other groups; they quit for ill health at a more advanced age. This is borne out by the cross-tabulations of items 52 and 53, showing that the life members' psychological resistance to ill health is lower than that of people in other groups. In this case, however, people of poor health in group 4 show only a rather small proportion of retirements before age 65, a fact which is not easily explained. Item 51 shows the most striking differences in this area: group 4 members are much less likely than members of other groups to look for another job when forcibly retired or laid off. The "normal" reaction of looking for a job under these conditions is most common in Group 1.

The other "normal" reaction to social and medical misfortune, asking children for help--is least common among life members and most common in group 4 (item 55). Along with this goes, among the life members, a greater reliance on strangers: the county, more specifically the social worker, and the doctor. The figures show that group 4 members have not yet been able to adjust to their dependence upon the social worker (items 57 and 58). Items 59 and 60 further illustrate differences in the feelings of members in the several groups concerning their children. The life members' relations with their children appear to be loose and non-committal.

Politicization and ideological rephrasing

The last finding in the preceding section might remind us of our original "isolation" hypothesis. But it does not appear that the life members' relative remoteness from his children could be termed "isolation" in the behavioral sense which we meant to give the word. Life members not only get less advice from their children than respondents in other groups, they also feel that they don't get enough visits from relatives (item 36). Yet, when we examine the actual number of visits they receive, we can detect no striking differences between life members and other groups. I wonder, in this connection, whether one visit every week or fortnight should be regarded, objectively, as "enough" or "not enough". We have not cross-tabulated these items yet--perhaps there are differences in the members' definitions. (Items 42-44)

Dissatisfaction with the number of family visits is the only instance of a positive relationship between involvement and dissatisfaction. Dissatisfaction with income is lowest among life members and highest among members of group 4 (item 33). A dissatisfaction index, based on four separate responses, shows that dissatisfaction is lowest among life members and highest in group three (item 37). Corroboration of this finding may be found in items 39-41, concerning the "happiest years of your life": life members are most likely to mention recent years, group 4 respondents are least likely to do so. Certainly, there is no evidence for any claim that increased involvement goes with increased dissatisfaction with one's own life; and if there is any relationship at all, it would be negative. The relatively low amount of dissatisfaction in the life member group may well be another therapeutic effect of membership. It disposes, incidentally, of the frustration-aggression hypothesis as applied in the case of this organization.

What is more, life members are less likely than any other members to feel that pensioners are being treated badly by county officials; they are most likely to feel that they are being treated well (items 61 and 62). The only instances in which life-members express dissatisfaction more frequently than other members are questions of an impersonal and political nature. Thus, life-members are more likely than any other group to consider the pension law "unfair": people don't wrong them, but the "system" does. There is a straight, although not impressive, regression of dissatisfaction with the pension law on involvement. At the same time, both group 4 and group 5 are optimistic about a raise in the pension: another psychic reward of membership (item 64). I believe that a detailed analysis of the friends-enemies test (not yet done) will show a similar tendency on the part of the life member to ideological and political rather than personal dissatisfaction.

Admittedly, the data in this section are particularly meager. Still, I think we have some reason to believe that increased participation in the Institute brings with it a more abstract, more ideological attitude toward the social and psychological problems of old age. This is what was meant in the heading by "politicization and ideological rephrasing". We do not know, as yet, whether this propensity is a personality

characteristic of life members, or else, a function of the organizational pattern which George McLain has imposed upon the Institute. In the latter case, the status drive would be primary, group membership would be instrumental to attaining status, and ideological rephrasing would be an acquired auxiliary attitude which goes with membership.

The state of redemption

Besides status pride, the characteristics of the saved member seem to be these: he has a diffuse and perhaps not quite warranted feeling of closeness to others, especially to George; he tends to become less affected by events outside the in-group; and, looking from the inside out, he neatly divides the world into half friends and half enemies. Items 69-73 illustrate the process of getting closer to George. Groups 3 and 5 are most likely to consider George as a friend (but the responses denoting a closer relationship, such as "father" and "brother" were seldom chosen). Group 4 whose members are still striving so hard to be saved are more reluctant to call George a "friend" (as reluctant as the members of group 1); but they are more likely than any other group to call George a "good shepherd". Am I going too far beyond the data when I say that this process of salvation involves some sort of union with the Deity--making God one's friend? Item 52 again exemplifies the feeling of closeness of the life member, the word "family" probably being chosen for want of a somewhat less committal term. Somewhat surprising are the perceptions of the Institute by members of group 4: the relatively low number of "service organization" responses (although this term appears on the mast-head of the paper and the Institute stationary) and the relatively large number of "union" responses (item 77). Note also the much greater frequency of the "religious group" response in groups 4 and 5, which lends some credence to my preceding hypotheses.

That the state of redemption brings peace to the soul can be seen in item 78. Group four members tend to cathect more social objects than do life members. Items 79 and 81 show the tendency of group 3, 4 and 5 to divide their world into equal halves of friends and enemies; this propensity is particularly noticeable among life members in item 81. Examination of items 80 and 82 reveals that group 3 may be considered the most aggressive group.

Demographic or pseudo-demographic data

Now that we have some superficial ideas concerning the members' attitudes, it may be time to look at the purportedly more "objective" data which we have been able to gather. My chief reason for presenting these data toward the end of this summary is that I rather distrust them. I think we have failed to elicit reliable information on such matters as occupation, and we probably shall continue to fail in this respect so long as members are driven by differential desires to emphasize or hide their previous status. Should we assume that group 4 contains a substantially higher proportion of skilled workers than the life member group? Or is it more reasonable to believe that all but the life members, and particularly those now bucking for life memberships, tended to emphasize previous

occupational status and to slightly up-grade themselves? (item 11). The large proportion of service workers (mostly domestic) (item 10) in the more involved groups is perhaps more credible.

Self-ratings of health are also subject to suspicion (items 13 and 14). It would seem that life members tend to over- or under-rate their health. If we run health by age for all groups combined, it turns out that the older groups tend to be a little more healthier. Since the life member group is by-and-large a little bit older than the other groups, one would expect it to enjoy a little better average health. But the data show increases at both ends of the distribution rather than a shift toward the "good" end.

It is difficult to make sense of the rather large representation of fundamentalists among group 4 members. Could it be that fundamentalists are particularly attracted to the quasi-catholic sale of indulgences by George with attendant redemption? Could it be that once received into the circle of the elect (recognizable by a golden button), they cease to describe themselves as "Pentecostal", "Four Square Gospel" and the like and modestly label themselves Protestants (which would make us put them into a different classification)? In other words, does George compete with the fundamentalist holy-roller sects? Or else, has there been a historical change during the past year, so that the life membership group now attracts a different class of people?

Size of community is one of the few accurate data we possess, since we have taken it from the 1950 Census. It is not surprising that the more involved and therefore more status conscious groups would be found chiefly in middle size towns, since status feelings tend to thrive in these environments.

Additional hypotheses to investigate

The foregoing data are mainly descriptive and offer very little insight into the constituent factors of participation. We must now attempt to construct additional hypotheses which might "explain" status striving among these old people. No doubt, the status problem is an acute one for almost all old people in our civilization. One would still want to know what accounts for differential degrees of acuteness.

A glance at items 70 and 71 might serve as a good starting point. Item 70 shows the gap which exists, for all these people, between aspiration and achievement. Item 71 again shows the therapeutic effect of membership: for life members more often than for any other group, there is a chance of closing the gap. Status, then, may be a substitute for activity. That is to say, no matter whether there is a gap between level of activity and level of activity aspiration, or between status level and status aspiration, in either case the answer of the McLain organization is in-group status.

We must, then, wonder how a large gap between level of achievement and level of aspiration might come about. Such small evidence as we have tends to point in two opposing directions. On health as on some other variables, the more involved members seem to bunch up in the tails of the distribution. This is to say that opposite, but extreme, factors may have identical effects. For instance, people who all through their lives have had high activity and status may persevere in old age and attempt to continue doing what they can't do any more. On the other hand people who have striven for status during their younger years without attaining it may persevere in their striving. It is even possible that people, at the end of their occupational career, will compare their achievement with that of others taken as a reference group and decide that at this late date they must strive to keep up with the Joneses. In the main study great attention should therefore be paid to the correlates of status and activity striving.

I think the fact that these old people will incur rather large expenses in order to attain membership must be interpreted as perseverance of striving or repetition compulsion. For most people, joining the McLain organization is a maladjustive response, because the constant high demands (in money and effort) of the organization upon the members make it impossible to close the gap between level of achievement and level of aspiration. Only a few attain, through heavy sacrifice, the state of redemption. I think that this compulsion to feeling guilty (or to having someone else tell you that you are falling down on the job) is related to a general feeling of inadequacy. As shown before, a good number of these people are unable to take remedial action even where this would be technically possible. Hence their increasing dependence upon the impersonal social welfare office and the rather impersonal (and not at all helpful) George. The question (for which Republicans have an answer) is whether taking relief kills "initiative" or whether those who suffer from some block which prevents their restructuring the environment are likely to become victims of social assistance and George McLain.

Ideological rephrasing is, I think, a corollary of the inability to deal with practical questions in a practical way. This inability may have psychological, social or medical roots. At any rate, it may pay to investigate more carefully what I have termed the frequency of "remedial action".

Finally, we have paid very little attention so far to the authoritarian structure of the organization in relation to the members' needs. From all that was said before about the members' inability to restructure their environment and their dependence it would seem that they would shun responsibility and that an authoritarian type of organization would just fill their bill. It is quite possible that the neurotic tension resulting from the protracted discrepancy between levels of achievement and aspiration actually has an immobilizing effect. If we can, we should try to obtain some expression of the members' thoughts on the structure of the Institute.

Frank A. Prince.

SUMMARY TABLE
Pilot Study of C. I. S. W.

Designation of groups

	0-X	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Measures of Involvement</u>						
1. Returning first questionnaire	73	68	75	80	87	95
2. Listening to G.M. 5 or more times per week	7	15	29	37	42	57
3. Collecting signatures "always" and "sometimes"	33	35	47	61	79	70
4. Attending "all" or "half" of meetings	9	19	35	48	41	56
5. Listening to both G.M. and church often	7	29			50	
<u>General Characteristics</u>						
6. Size of median community	42,500	35,000	85,000	65,000	42,500	42,500
7. Living in towns of 50,000 and over	47	45	52	53	48	47
8. Democrats	28?	48	55	45	59	60
<u>Occupations:*</u>						
9. Professional and white collar	0	21	18	13	5	8
10. Service	29	31	20	32	41	39
11. Skilled	25	33	29	30	37	18
12. Semi-skilled	10	5	7	8	2	11
<u>Health:</u>						
13. "Excellent" and "good"	12	20	19	15	16	21
14. "Poor" and "very poor"	33	47	35	37	37	43
15. Psychoneurotic index			no differences			
16. Source of income: pension	67	69	77	85	75	88
17. Married:	39	46	50	59	52	47
18. Religion: Fundamentalist	17?	15	13	14	29	19
<u>Experiences at close of Occupational career</u>						
19. 2 kinds of work between 50 and 65	33	17	24	21	13	26
20. 3 or more kinds of work, 50-65	13	39	24	28	36	39
21. "Never unemployed", age 50-65	11	17	16	18	17	22
22. Stopped working before age 65	17?	34	41	31	31	40
23. Downward job mobility, 50-65	15	17	18	19	12	18
24. Lost more than 3 years through illness, 50-65	9	8	16	19	14	18

* Percent of those answering question

	C-X	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Status feelings related to job and pension</u>						
25. Felt "bad" about loosing last job	35	46	50	53	49	46
26. Feelings about pension:						
27. "Glad" when applying	24	25	24	24	36	25
28. "Glad" now	41	45	43	46	39	49
29. "Felt entitled" when applying	16	15	28	28	21	36
30. "Feel entitled" now	26	20	28	33	27	46
31. "Wanted to work" or "do something in return" when applying	11	20	18	21	12	17
32. "Prefer to work" now	7	5	4	7	12	5
<u>Feelings of dissatisfaction</u>						
33. Income "not enough"	26	33	33	37	41	25
34. Residence: pro-con and dissatisfied	32	36	33	46	38	36
35. Number of friends' visits "not enough"	24?	52	43	47	45	48
36. Number of family visits "not enough"	37?	53	53	56	59	64
37. Index of dissatisfaction based on above four questions 3 or 4 negative answers.	8	15	15	21	18	12
38. X dissatisfaction:			no difference			
39. Happiest years: 40-60	18	21	23	19	15	24
40. Happiest years: since 60	4	7	10	13	10	16
41. Total	20	28	33	32	25	40
42. Number of visits from friends and relatives: Less than 1 per month	26?	31?	41	42	31	42
43. Two to four visits per month	15?	21?	23	30	40	27
44. Total	31?	52?	64	72	71	67
<u>Activity</u>						
45. Self rating: "more to do than can manage"	13?	20	13	18	24	15
46. Self rating: "often time on my hands"	15?	9	21	24	11	24
<u>Self Help - Frequency of remedial action:</u>						
47. Not "quite satisfied" with residence but never moved in 5 years	15?	17?	20	29	15	15
48. Looked for work after loosing last job	20?	26	27	31	23	17
49. Gave up last job because of ill health	32	30	37	40	33	43
50. Left last job above age 65	64?	40	34	56	51	43
51. Was retired or laid off and looked for another job	41	87	58	60	38	58

	0-X	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Resistance</u>						
52. Health now fair, poor or very poor, retired before age 65*	26?	39	24	30	27	57
53. Health poor or very poor, retired before age 65*	24	37	39	38	24	42
54. "Wanted to stop working"	5	8	6	10	3	5
55. Dependence: one source of help only	39?	66	75	72	71	79
56. Dependence: children	15?	14	12	15	18	10
57. Dependence: officials and doctor	39?	63	66	60	53	63
58. Dependence: social worker only	17?	38	35	31	26	41
<u>Children's attitude about applying</u>						
59. Approved	38	31	43	38	45	26
60. Left it up to R	41	55	43	47	45	58
<u>Attitudes concerning Social Service</u>						
61. "Pensioners are treated well"	33?	42	34	88	36	25
62. "Pensioners are treated badly"	7	6	10	6	14	9
63. "Pension law is unfair"	31?	46	50	60	59	66
64. "Good chance pension will be raised"	26?	26	35	29	45	44
<u>Attitudes concerning C.I.S.W.</u>						
Aims pursued by contributing:						
65. "Better pensions"	30	56	64	65	67	67
66. "Help the old and needy"	28	58	53	53	48	53
67. "Help George"	7	21	22	16	21	17
68. "Make friends"	—	—	—	1	2	3
69. "I and others are not doing enough for C.I.S.W."	24	44	56	53	51	49
70. "I am doing enough, others are not"	2	5	9	17	14	27
<u>Perceptions of George</u>						
71. "Friend"	48	59	63	74	59	70
72. "Advisor"	50	32	46	56	42	49
73. "Good shepherd"	35	30	33	29	47	40
74. "Statesman"	4	23	19	22	23	27
75. "Political leader"	22	17	15	19	15	21
<u>Perceptions of C.I.S.W.</u>						
76. "Service organization"	33	55	59	75	38	74
77. "Family"	13	25	40	34	42	52
78. "Religious group"	17	18	21	14	32	33
79. "Union"	4	23	20	18	36	20

*Present health is closely related to leaving job because of ill health.

	0-X	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Aggression and Polarization</u>						
80. More than $\frac{1}{2}$ of items checked in "Friends-enemies" test	35	45	40	51	54	41
81. Ratio of "Friends" / Total = 50%	30	31	27	41	29	35
82. Ratio of "Friends"/total more than 60%	26	39	36	16	23	26
83. Ratio of "enemies"/total = 50%	15	32	30	44	41	52
84. Ratio of "enemies"/total more than 60%	24	19	22	26	21	25
85. \bar{X} enemy/friend ratio	3.8	4.1	4.2	5.3	4.8	5.4

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
 Assisted by George McLain,
 Chairman, California Institute
 of Social Welfare

FORM C

QUESTIONNAIRE

Problems of Older People

<p>I. GENERAL QUESTIONS</p> <p>This first group of questions is about your health, income and other circumstances of your life. We need to have this information in order to gain insight into the problems of older people.</p>	<p><i>If you are getting a PENSION now (California Old Age pension) answer these questions:</i></p> <p>8a. How do you feel about your pension now?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ I am glad I have it <input type="checkbox"/>² I feel entitled to it <input type="checkbox"/>³ I would prefer to work <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ I still can't get used to accepting it.</p>	18
<p>5 6 1. Age years</p>	<p>b. At what age did you first apply for your pension?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ At 65 <input type="checkbox"/>² Between 65 and 70 <input type="checkbox"/>³ After 70</p>	19
<p>7 2. <input type="checkbox"/>¹ Male <input type="checkbox"/>² Female</p>		
<p>8 9 10 3. In or near what town do you live? Write name of town</p>	<p>c. How did you feel about applying at the time?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ I was glad I could apply <input type="checkbox"/>² I took it as something I was entitled to <input type="checkbox"/>³ I was glad about getting the money, but sorry I couldn't do anything in return <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ It was a hard step to take because I wanted to go on working <input type="checkbox"/>⁵ I felt ashamed to ask for the Pension</p>	20
<p>11 4. Are you <input type="checkbox"/>¹ Single <input type="checkbox"/>² Married <input type="checkbox"/>³ Widowed <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ Divorced or <input type="checkbox"/>⁵ Separated?</p>	<p>d. Did your adult children know that you were applying for the pension?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ Yes <input type="checkbox"/>² No <input type="checkbox"/>³ Don't know</p>	21
<p>12 5. What are your living arrangements? <input type="checkbox"/>¹ I have lived alone..... years <input type="checkbox"/>² I live with my husband or wife <input type="checkbox"/>³ I live with relatives or friends <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ Other (explain).....</p>	<p>e. How did your adult children feel about your applying for the pension?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ They insisted I apply <input type="checkbox"/>² They approved <input type="checkbox"/>³ They left it up to me <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ They disapproved <input type="checkbox"/>⁵ They strongly objected</p>	22
<p>13 6a. How long have you been living at your present residence? years</p>		
<p>14 b. How many times have you moved during the last 5 years?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ Never <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ Three times <input type="checkbox"/>² Once <input type="checkbox"/>⁵ Four times <input type="checkbox"/>³ Twice <input type="checkbox"/>⁶ More than 4 times</p>	<p>9a. How would you rate your health?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ Excellent <input type="checkbox"/>² Good <input type="checkbox"/>³ Fair <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ Poor <input type="checkbox"/>⁵ Very poor</p>	23
<p>15 c. How do you like your present place?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ I am quite satisfied <input type="checkbox"/>² It has good and bad sides <input type="checkbox"/>³ I am quite dissatisfied</p>	<p>b. Is it easy or hard for you to get around?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ It is easy <input type="checkbox"/>² Sometimes it is easy, sometimes it is hard <input type="checkbox"/>³ It is hard</p>	24
<p>16 7a. What are your present sources of income?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ California Old Age Pension <input type="checkbox"/>² Federal Old Age Insurance benefits <input type="checkbox"/>³ Earnings <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ Other (explain).....</p>	<p>c. Are you often troubled with any of the following things? Which ones?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ Sleeplessness (can't sleep) <input type="checkbox"/>² Bad dreams <input type="checkbox"/>³ Get tired too easily <input type="checkbox"/>⁴ Food doesn't taste good <input type="checkbox"/>⁵ Feel "blue" <input type="checkbox"/>⁶ Nervousness <input type="checkbox"/>⁷ Dislike noise <input type="checkbox"/>⁸ Worry about my health <input type="checkbox"/>⁹ Forgetfulness <input type="checkbox"/>⁰ Troubled with none of these</p>	25
<p>17 b. Do you get enough money to live on?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>¹ Enough <input type="checkbox"/>² Barely enough <input type="checkbox"/>³ Not enough</p>		

26	<p>10a. (If employed) Do you have a regular job? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> ² No</p> <p>b. What kind of work do you do? Name of job</p>	<p>14. If you are (or have been) A HOUSEWIFE answer this question. What was your husband's main line of work? Name of job</p> <p>..... Kind of business</p>	37
27	<p>If you DON'T WORK FULL TIME now, answer these questions:</p> <p>11a. What was the last full-time job you had? Name of job</p> <p>..... Kind of business</p>	<p>15. During which of these times were you the happiest? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Before I was 20 <input type="checkbox"/> ² From 20 to 40 <input type="checkbox"/> ³ From 40 to 60 <input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ After 60</p>	38
28	<p>b. How old were you when you gave up your last full-time job? Write age</p>	<p>II. YOUR CONTACTS AND ACTIVITIES Through the next group of questions, we would like to learn something about the social life and the activities of our elderly citizens.</p>	
29	<p>c. Why did you leave your last job? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ I reached the age where the company made people retire <input type="checkbox"/> ² I was laid off <input type="checkbox"/> ³ I was unable to work because of ill health <input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ I wanted to stop working <input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ Other reasons (explain)..... </p>	<p>16. In general, do you feel that you are pretty well occupied, or do you often have time on your hands? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ I have more things to do than I can find time for <input type="checkbox"/> ² I am mostly busy <input type="checkbox"/> ³ I often have time on my hands</p>	39
30	<p>d. After you left your last job, did you look for work? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> ² No</p>	<p>17a. How often do you have visits with members of your family or friends? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Less than once in three months <input type="checkbox"/> ² About once every two or three months <input type="checkbox"/> ³ About once a month <input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ About once every two weeks <input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ About once a week <input type="checkbox"/> ⁶ About twice a week <input type="checkbox"/> ⁷ About three times a week <input type="checkbox"/> ⁸ More than three times a week</p>	
31	<p>e. How did you feel about leaving your last job? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ I felt very bad <input type="checkbox"/> ² It didn't make much difference to me <input type="checkbox"/> ³ I was glad to leave it</p>	<p>17a. How often do you have visits with members of your family or friends? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Less than once in three months <input type="checkbox"/> ² About once every two or three months <input type="checkbox"/> ³ About once a month <input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ About once every two weeks <input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ About once a week <input type="checkbox"/> ⁶ About twice a week <input type="checkbox"/> ⁷ About three times a week <input type="checkbox"/> ⁸ More than three times a week</p>	
32	<p>12a. About how many years, altogether, were you out of a job (<i>unemployed</i>) between 50 and 65 years of age? years</p>	<p>b. Do you see members of your family as often as you would like to? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> ² No <input type="checkbox"/> ³ Can't say</p>	
33	<p>b. Between the ages of 50 and 65, about how many years were you <i>not working</i> because of <i>ill health</i>? years</p>	<p>c. Do you see your friends as often as you would like to? <input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> ² No <input type="checkbox"/> ³ Can't say</p>	
34	<p>13a. What was your main line of work before you were 50? Name of job</p> <p>..... Kind of business</p>	<p>18a. What organizations (such as clubs, lodges, unions, and the like) do you belong to? (Please write down all of them) </p>	
35	<p>b. Please list (in order) the kinds of work you have done since you were 50. </p>	<p>b. To how many meetings have you gone during the last month? Write number of meetings</p>	
36	<p>..... </p>	<p>19a. What is your religion or denomination? Write religion or denomination</p>	

47	<p>b. About how often do you attend church services?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Never</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² Seldom</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ³ Once or twice a month</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ Once a week</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ More than once a week</p>	<p>c. How often do you go to meetings of the Institute of Social Welfare?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ I go to nearly every meeting that is held in my town</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² I go to just about half of the meetings being held in my town</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ³ I seldom or never go to meetings of the Institute</p>	59
48	<p>c. Do you attend church services more often or less often than when you were 55?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ More often <input type="checkbox"/> ³ About the same</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² Less often</p>	<p>d. How often have you worked with the Institute in collecting signatures on petitions?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ I always collect a lot of signatures</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² I have done some signature collecting</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ³ I never collected signatures</p>	60
49	<p>d. Do you participate in any church activities or groups (such as service groups, missionary society, etc.)?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> ² No</p>	<p>23a. Do you feel that you are doing enough for the Institute of Social Welfare?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	61
50	<p>e. Do you listen to church services on the radio?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Often <input type="checkbox"/> ² Sometimes <input type="checkbox"/> ³ Never</p>	<p>b. Do you feel that most members are doing enough for the Institute of Social Welfare?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
51	<p>20. How often do you listen to George McLain's broadcasts on the radio?</p> <p>.....times a week</p>	<p>23a. Do you feel that you are doing enough for the Institute of Social Welfare?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	61
52	<p>21. What does George McLain mean to you? (Check <i>no more than THREE</i> words which tell best what George McLain is like)</p> <p>To me, George McLain is like a</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Father <input type="checkbox"/> ⁶ Adviser</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² Statesman <input type="checkbox"/> ⁷ Political leader</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ³ Friend <input type="checkbox"/> ⁸ Good shepherd</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ Brother <input type="checkbox"/> ⁹ Fountain of love</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ Teacher <input type="checkbox"/> ⁰ None of these</p>	<p>24. What does the Institute of Social Welfare mean to you? (Check <i>no more than THREE</i> words which tell best what the Institute of Social Welfare is like.)</p> <p>To me, the Institute of Social Welfare is like a</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Club <input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ Religious group</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² Union <input type="checkbox"/> ⁶ Family</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ³ Political Party <input type="checkbox"/> ⁷ Service organization</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ None of these</p>	62 63 64
55	<p>22a. What are your contributions to the Institute of Social Welfare?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ I pay \$2.00 a year for the National Welfare Advocate</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² I pay \$5.00 a year for a regular membership</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ³ I am paying on a life membership</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ I am a paid-up life member</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ I sometimes make contributions through the mail or in meetings</p> <p>If so, about how much during the last 3 months?</p> <p>\$.....</p>	<p>25. In politics, as of today, do you consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, or an independent voter?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Democrat <input type="checkbox"/> ³ Independent</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² Republican <input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ Other (explain).....</p> <p>.....</p>	65
57	<p>b. When you pay dues or make contributions to the Institute, what are your main aims? (Check <i>no more than TWO</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ To get better pension laws</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² To help George McLain</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ³ To make up for the laziness of others</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ To make up for my own shortcomings</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ To beat our enemies</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁶ To help the old and needy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁷ To join the group and make friends</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁸ Some other aim (explain).....</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>III. THE PENSIONERS' PROBLEMS</p> <p>Through the next questions, we should like to find out what you think about pensioners in general and about their problems.</p> <p>26a. A person is no longer young at about what age?</p> <p>.....years</p> <p>A person is beginning "middle age" at about what time?</p> <p>.....years</p> <p>Old age begins when a person is about..... years old.</p> <p>b. I myself, am</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ a young adult</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ² an adult, not young, but not middle-aged</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ³ of middle age</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ past middle age</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ old</p>	66 67 68 69

70	<p>27. Pretend that you know the people mentioned in the next question, and make a guess.</p> <p>Suppose Ella Jones, an elderly lady who lived next door to you, was failing in health. Mrs. Jones has trouble getting around nowadays. She has to have a special diet and needs a good bit of help. Which of the following would you advise her to turn to for help?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Children and other young family members <input type="checkbox"/> ² The social worker <input type="checkbox"/> ³ Friends her own age <input type="checkbox"/> ⁴ The doctor <input type="checkbox"/> ⁵ The county <input type="checkbox"/> ⁶ Others (explain).....</p>	<p>don't have to check each one, just the ones which you think are mostly friends or enemies of the elderly citizens.</p>
71	<p>28a. Do you feel that the pension law—as it stands now—is fair or unfair to the pensioners?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Fair <input type="checkbox"/> ² Unfair <input type="checkbox"/> ³ Can't tell</p>	<p>Boards of Supervisors <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>State Senators <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Social workers <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>C.I.O. Labor Unions <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Catholics <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Senator Weybert <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Hospital personnel <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Governor Warren <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Big business <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Democrats <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p>
72	<p>b. Do you feel there is a chance the pension will be raised?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ A good chance <input type="checkbox"/> ² A fair chance <input type="checkbox"/> ³ Little chance</p>	<p>Negroes <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Veterans <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>A. F. of L. Unions <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Dr. Townsend <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Jews <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Politicians <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Chambers of Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Congressmen <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p>
73	<p>c. Do you feel that pensioners get decent treatment from most county welfare offices—or not?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ¹ Pensioners are mostly treated well <input type="checkbox"/> ² Pensioners are sometimes treated well, sometimes badly <input type="checkbox"/> ³ Pensioners are mostly treated badly</p>	<p>Communists <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Assemblymen <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>President Truman <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Republicans <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Protestants <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p> <p>Ham 'n Eggers <input type="checkbox"/> Friend <input type="checkbox"/> Enemy</p>
74	<p>29. Who are the friends and enemies of the pensioners and of other elderly citizens?</p> <p>In the list below you will find the names of persons and groups which some people regard as either friends or enemies of the pensioners. If you think a person or group is a friend of the pensioners, check the word "Friend." If you think a person or group is an enemy of the pensioners, check the word "Enemy." You</p>	