

J2.62

67/14
C

19164

WAR RELOCATION AUTHORITY
Washington, D. C.

January 8, 1945

To: All Project Directors
Attention: Head Counselor, Welfare Section
Subject: Estimates of anticipated dependency


Please refer to our teletype of November 20, 1944 and your teletype and letter replying. The request included the following:

- (1) Estimate of total center population anticipated to be in need of assistance and other social services upon relocation (in terms of family units and persons)
- (2) This estimate grouped in terms of Handbook 30.4.44 A-E (i.e. the categories of Aged, Dependent Children, Physically Handicapped, Unattached Children, and Others)
- (3) Explanation of the basis for your estimates.
- (4) Separation of "known" from "estimated" situations, giving the percentage of the center population included in the "known" situations.

The data which has been received has been both timely and useful, but it has been extremely difficult to reduce it to common classes, to make comparisons, and to obtain totals for the entire population of all the centers. No center has sent sufficient information on each of the four questions of the request. Four Centers responded to the second part of the request by giving the number of problems classified on the Basic Family Dependency Cards, Form WRA-370 rather than the number of family units classified by the outline of Handbook 30.4.44 A-E as was the intention of the request.

The enclosed tables will give you a rough idea of the number and variety of dependency situations estimated for all centers. It will also show you the translation of the data your center forwarded. These figures are not for release to the residents of the Center or for distribution to the staff. They are intended for your information and use in revising your estimates.

We are asking that Central Utah, Colorado River, Gila River, and Manzanar submit additional estimates in terms of the five categories of Handbook 30.4.44 A-E, Aged, Dependent Children, Handicapped, Other, and Unattached Children.



1941

THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

January 1, 1941

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 10-10-80 BY SP-6 BJS/ML

EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

THIS DOCUMENT IS IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM.

- (1) The purpose of this report is to provide information on the status of the land resources of the State of California.
- (2) This report is based on a survey of the land resources of the State of California, conducted by the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior.
- (3) The results of the survey are presented in this report, which is divided into two parts: a general description of the land resources of the State, and a detailed description of the land resources of each county.
- (4) The general description of the land resources of the State is based on a survey of the land resources of the State, conducted by the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior.
- (5) The detailed description of the land resources of each county is based on a survey of the land resources of each county, conducted by the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior.

The land resources of the State of California are described in this report, which is divided into two parts: a general description of the land resources of the State, and a detailed description of the land resources of each county. The general description of the land resources of the State is based on a survey of the land resources of the State, conducted by the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior. The detailed description of the land resources of each county is based on a survey of the land resources of each county, conducted by the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior.

The land resources of the State of California are described in this report, which is divided into two parts: a general description of the land resources of the State, and a detailed description of the land resources of each county. The general description of the land resources of the State is based on a survey of the land resources of the State, conducted by the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior. The detailed description of the land resources of each county is based on a survey of the land resources of each county, conducted by the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior.



The number of problems in each of the eleven classes shown on the Basic Family Dependency Card in the population predicted to be dependent upon resettlement is also useful in planning. Therefore, we are asking that Granada, Heart Mountain, Minidoka, Rohwer, and Tule Lake add this information in an additional report.

In attempting to incorporate the relative numbers of each of the eleven problems shown on the Dependency Cards to derive an estimate of the number of persons in each of the five categories mentioned in (2) above, for the five projects which did not report in these terms, we have combined the numbers given as "blind", "chronically ill", "crippled", "deaf", "mentally ill", "physically handicapped", and "tuberculosis", to obtain the number for the category of 30.4.44 C, Physically Handicapped; the number of "old age" has been used for 30.4.44 A, Aged; the number of "one parent absent" has been used for the 30.4.44 B, Dependent Children; and the number of "other" has been used for the category of 30.4.44 D, Other. It is quite obvious that such a conversion may have not yielded results. The number of problems should not be counted as persons, and we may question the combining of problems and the assumption that they are comparable to the respective categories of the Handbook 30.4.44 A-E outline as included in this system of conversion.

In adding to, revising, and refining this data, we would suggest that your Welfare Section work with the file of Basic Family Dependency Cards, WRA-370. In counting family units, each card may be counted. In counting total persons, the numbers entered for "Size of Family" may be added. In counting problems, (rather than persons) the cards may be sorted by each of the eleven "Special Problems". In counting the categories "A", "B", "C", "D", and "E" as outlined in 30.4.44 A-E, an entry may be made under "Remarks" on the card designating the one of the five categories most appropriately describing the prevailing problem faced by the family. This decision and entry should be made by the counselor most familiar with the family's total circumstances, or the worker to whom responsibility for counseling services is assigned.

It is recognized that the conditions referred to by the outline of Handbook 30.4.44 are not entirely exclusive one of the ~~of the~~ other, particularly those falling under sections "C" and "D" as stated prior to the current revision. However, in making this grouping it is essential to count each family in one of the five categories and in only one. The decision as to which kind of service, assistance, or institutional requirement appears most important in the planning for resettlement of the family unit should be made by the staff member most capable of such a decision and entered on the WRA-370 for the family unit, as suggested above. After a given family unit has been assigned to one of the five groups in accordance with the nature of that primary class of need of the family as a whole, that particular family unit should not be counted



in another of the five categories. Counting a family in only one category is important even though one or more members present problems which could be classed in another of the five groups.

The decision as to which of the five categories most appropriately describes a family's need or problem will in some cases be difficult. This decision should be made on the basis of conditions expected to exist at the time of anticipated resettlement, giving emphasis to means for their solution. In classifying family units by categories, it should be kept in mind that there is similarity between the five classes of Handbook 30.4.44 A-E and those already established by the Social Security Act for grants in aid to the Aged, to Dependent Children, and to the Needy Blind. Eligibility for this categorical assistance may be a major factor in the working out of a relocation plan. Also, section D of the outline corresponds to the general services and assistance, or relief, in most states. Furthermore, there is similarity between section E of the outline to the funds and services usually made available by states and localities for the care and placement of unattached children.

It is important that you make clear to your staff that the states and appropriate local jurisdictions, not the War Relocation Authority, will determine eligibility for public assistance and that nothing should be said to a family to suggest certainty of eligibility for any specific category of assistance. Nevertheless, for purposes of planning with state agencies and the Social Security Board, a classification with the possibilities of the various categories of assistance in mind will be useful.

With reference to the points of the request numbered (3), basis for estimate, and (4), known vs. estimated cases, page one above, several projects discussed at some length the basis for the estimate and the extent of knowledge of the total family units of the project. It is essential that each project complete the review of the situation in every family unit in residence at the earliest possible date. There is practically no evidence in the reports already submitted that the known cases are representative of the total population. We realize that no scientific method of sampling was possible, because of the non-existence or inadequacy of records. We also know that recorded information probably included, in many instances, those families to whom more intensive social services had been given. This undoubtedly resulted in a higher incidence of dependency cases than would be found among the less known portion of the population. Therefore, it is important that the family counseling be expedited so that recorded social data will be available on each family unit.

The Social Security Board is in need of information as to the volume of work which may be predicted to fall within the various localities of the evacuated area. Your tabulation by the five categories of Handbook 30.4.44 A-E might also be sorted to show the numbers by counties of pre-evacuation address as follows:

in another of the five categories. Containing a family in only one category is important even though one or more members present problems which could be classified in another of the five groups.

The decision as to which of the five categories most appropriately describes a family's need or problem will in some cases be difficult. This decision should be made on the basis of conditions expected to exist at the time of anticipated resettlement giving emphasis to means for their solution. In classifying families by categories, it should be kept in mind that there is similarity between the five classes of Handbook 30.4.4.4-1 and those already established by the Social Security Act for grants in aid to the aged, to dependent children, and to the needy blind. Eligibility for this categorical assistance may be a major factor in the working out of a relocation plan. Also, section D of the outline corresponds to the general services and assistance, or rather, in most states, Furthermore, there is similarity between section E of the outline to the funds and services usually made available by states and localities for the care and placement of unattached children.

It is important that you make clear to your staff what the states and appropriate local jurisdictions, not the War Relocation Authority, will determine eligibility for public assistance and that nothing should be said positively to suggest certainty of eligibility for any specific category of assistance. Nevertheless, for purposes of planning with state agencies and the Social Security Board, a classification with the possibilities of the various categories of assistance in mind will be useful.

With reference to the points of the request numbered (3), basis for estimate, and (4), known vs. estimated cases, page one above, several facts discussed at some length in the basis for the estimate and the extent of knowledge of the total family units of the project. It is essential that each project conduct the review of the situation in every family unit in residence at the earliest possible date. There is practically no evidence in the reports already submitted that the known cases are representative of the total population. We realize that no scientific method of sampling was possible, because of the non-existence or inadequacy of records. We also know that recorded information probably included, in many instances, those families to whom more intensive social services had been given. This undoubtedly resulted in a higher incidence of dependency cases than would be found among the less known portion of the population. Therefore, it is important that the family community be expanded so that recorded social data will be available on each family unit.

The Social Security Board is in need of information as to the volume of work which may be provided to fall within the various localities of the evacuated areas. Your submission by the War Relocation Authority of Handbook 30.4.4.4-1 might also be sorted to show the number by localities of pre-evacuation address as follows:



To summarize, this letter is requesting special emphasis and addition to the previous request as follows:

- (1) Clarification of the numbers of family units and persons estimated to be dependent upon resettlement.
- (2) A careful classification of all family units expected to be dependent in terms of the five categories of Handbook 30.4.44, avoiding duplication.
- (3) Separation by counties of pre-evacuation addresses of all family units estimated to become dependent in a tabulation showing this by the five categories of general need.
- (4) A listing of the numbers of problems represented in the group of persons expected to be dependent upon relocation (making no effort to reconcile this with the number of family units or persons) using as the source the eleven "special problems" shown on forms WRA-370.
- (5) A brief addition of anything pertinent to explain the basis for the figures in relation to the total population of the center.

May we receive this amended estimate by February 15, 1945, regardless of whether the data is based on knowledge of all the family units of the center or not.

Two centers have requested an additional supply of the Forms WRA-370. A supply of this form on white card stock has been ordered sent to each project, and they should reach you in the immediate future. If you do not receive them, let us know promptly.

Lucy Williams Brown
Acting Head
Welfare Section

It is requested that you advise the Bureau of the results of your investigation as soon as possible.

- (1) If you determine that the information furnished is reliable, please advise the Bureau of the results of your investigation as soon as possible.
- (2) If you determine that the information furnished is not reliable, please advise the Bureau of the results of your investigation as soon as possible.
- (3) If you determine that the information furnished is not reliable, please advise the Bureau of the results of your investigation as soon as possible.
- (4) If you determine that the information furnished is not reliable, please advise the Bureau of the results of your investigation as soon as possible.
- (5) If you determine that the information furnished is not reliable, please advise the Bureau of the results of your investigation as soon as possible.

Very respectfully,
Special Agent in Charge

cc: Bureau of Investigation, Washington, D.C.
cc: [illegible]
cc: [illegible]

Very truly yours,
[Signature]
Special Agent in Charge



Table 1. Numbers and Categories of Problems and Services Expected to be Required by Residents of War Relocation Authority Centers in Relation to the Present Population of Centers, by Centers.

Center	Popula- tion 12/2/44	Total Dependents Expected	Per Cent Population	CATEGORIES OF SERVICES NEEDED OR PROBLEM				
				Old Age	Lack of Parental Support	Physical Handicaps with Special Requirements	Other Physical of Financial Prob- lems	Unattached Children
TOTAL:	79 513	15 759	18	5 885	2 571	3 614	3 609	110
Cant	5 659	890	15	644	14	223	3	6
Colo	11 256	3 200	28	805	95	1 369	922	9
Gila	9 450	1 847	32 - 7.20	867	771	60	147	2
Gran	6 026	545	9	165	154	89	137	0
Htmt	8 746	1 153	13	182	522	97	1 339	13
Manz	5 553	2 884	51	515	97	1 178	1 043	51
Mini	7 319	1 972	26	1 100	252	336	260	24
Rohw	6 548	716	10	344	239	109	22	2
Tule	18 766	2 552	12	1 233	427	153	736	3





Table2. Factors of recorded basis for estimates and present financial dependency which
 Pertain to estimates of probably future dependency, by Centers.

Number Family Units in Population		Number Family Records Used in Estimate	Percentage of Total Units	Receiving Financial Assistance	
				Family Units	Persons
TOTAL:	28 685	16 481	57	2 979	5 841
Cent	2 063	2 063	100	233	402
Colo	4 740	3 982	84	315	699
Gila	3 250	2 533	79	354	539
Gran	2 265	2 038	90	166	324
Htmt	3 063	2 089	68	325	583
Manz	1 961	573	26	95	145
Mini	2 592	789	30	123	183
Rohw	2 443	1 124	46	162	259
Tule	6 308	1 290	21	1 206	2 707



Flow of Work, Dependency Cases
Procedure Suggested Feb. 23, 1945

1. Preparation for interview; Clearance of records; 329, relocation, statistics, etc. Scheduling.
2. Interview(s) with family.
3. Collateral inquiry; education, health, relatives or friends with interrelated plans etc.
4. Recording. In general, this will be in form of copies of correspondence with the Area Relocation Adjustment Advisor, with enclosures (See Step 5). If there is an old case record, an entry should be made something as follows. "2-20-45. Interview with Mr. _____. See correspondence with Relocation Adjustment Advisor."
5. Initial referral to Area Office.

Correspondence written by interviewer (See Step 4), should be addressed to Area Relocation Supervisor, Attention Relocation Adjustment Advisor.

 - (a) If a letter, interviewer's name should appear at lower left of last page. Signature should read, "Duncan Mills, Project Director, by Lou E. Butler, Counselor, Welfare Section."
 - (b) If a Family Summary, Interviewer's name and the date prepared, should appear at the top of the summary; and 4 copies should be sent to the Relocation Adjustment Advisor.
5th copy to Welfare file.
6th copy to Relocation Office.
Enclosures: (1) Original and $\frac{3}{4}$ copies, Form 390
(2) " " $\frac{3}{4}$ " , Form 329
(3) " " $\frac{3}{4}$ " , Form 76a, when indicated.
6. Referral by Area Relocation Adjustment Advisor to Welfare Agency.
7. Reply on preliminary planning from field, letter and Form 390.
 - (a) From Welfare Agency to Area Relocation Adjustment Advisor.
 - (b) From Area Relocation Adjustment Advisor to Project Director, Attention Welfare Section.
8. Review and evaluation of reply from field in connection with preliminary plan.
9. Follow-up interview with family.
10. Follow-up correspondence with Area Relocation Adjustment Advisor on points necessitating further clarification in order to develop complete plan. Prepare as described in Steps 4 and 5.
11. Organization of completed plan for referral to Relocation Division for approval and relocation.
12. Route organized, completed plan to Unit Relocation Office.

Note: In the evaluation by Relocation Office, if there are matters that call for further discussion, they will be cleared by joint conference.

Suggested Report on "Dependency Cases"

Date to be in Washington: Monday, November 13th

Data to be included:

I. Actual figures needed--

- (a) Total number of family units at Center
(Give by Units and in grand total).
- (b) Status of family counselling in relation
to total number of family units completed.
- (c) Number family units to be classified as
"Dependency cases" under these breakdowns--
 - 1. State
 - 2. County
 - 3. City
 - 4. Citizenship (head of family only)
- (d) Categories (as nearly as possible to show
obvious family problems)--
 - 1. State
 - 2. County

II. Brief narrative summary on any pertinent information
regarding composition of Units, to date, problems such
as found in families applying for repatriation, etc.

This might well be set-up with the view to using the
data for S.S.B. should the states want a quick summary
of types of families for whom they must plan.

*Explanation of how material in the study was obtained.

F. Steele
11-5-44

* Includes { Relocation
Welfare
Hospital

Those for whom no pre-exa. record known

file - 64B
COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER
POSTON, ARIZONA

November 11, 1944

AIRMAIL

Mr. D. S. Myer
Director
War Relocation Authority
Barr Building
Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: Mrs. Marie D. Lane

Re: Analysis of Dependency

Dear Mr. Myer:

Enclosed are estimates on dependency at time of Center closing. About 3,000 records were read in the Relocation and Welfare offices and cards were completed for families who we think will need assistance. The cards from the two offices were assembled alphabetically by county of pre-evacuation address after duplications had been eliminated. The Health Section made up cards on the hospital patients, tuberculosis cases under care, and some clinic cases.

The Health Section information was added to the cards already made up but no new cards were made on the basis of a health report only.

The figures will be adjusted from time to time as new cards are added or old ones removed from the control file.

The 3,000 records read include those of 2,700 families with whom initial interviews in regard to future plans had been held. As of November first, there were about 1,000 families with whom initial interviews had not been held.

Sincerely yours,

Duncan Mills
Project Director

Enclosures-4

ANALYSIS OF CASES LISTED AS "DEPENDENT"

Colorado River Relocation Center

November 10, 1944

State and County	No. Depend. Fam. Units	No. Individ. included.	Citizenship of head	
			Alien -----	Cit.
<u>Arizona</u>				
Maricopa	5	11	4	1
Pima	1	1	1	0
<u>Utah</u>				
Salt Lake	1	1	1	0
<u>Washington</u>				
King	1	1	1	0
<u>California</u>				
Alameda	3	5	2	1
Fresno	67	230	62	5
Imperial	25	95	22	3
Kern	57	115	57	0
Los Angeles	95	303	85	10
Monterey	48	240	41	7
Orange	50	186	45	7
Placer	2	10	2	0
Riverside	7	21	7	0
Sacramento	41	189	35	6
San Benito	16	56	15	3
San Bernardino	12	45	11	1
San Diego	71	262	67	4
San Francisco	3	8	3	0
San Joaquin	1	1	1	0
San Luis Obispo	2	5	1	1
San Mateo	1	4	0	1
Santa Barbara	7	14	7	0
Santa Clara	11	67	11	0
Santa Cruz	35	189	32	3
Tulare	121	361	113	8
Grand Total	683	2,421	622	61

Analysis of Categories
Approximate Population -
November 10, 1944

<u>State - County</u>	<u>Blind</u>	<u>Chr. Ill.</u>	<u>Cripple</u>	<u>Deaf</u>	<u>Mental</u>	<u>Old Age</u>	<u>One Parent Absent</u>	<u>Phys. Handi.</u>	<u>T.B.</u>	<u>Unattchd Child</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>Arizona</u>											
Maricopa	0	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Pima	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
<u>California</u>											
Alameda	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11
Fresno	0	6	1	0	4	26	6	5	5	0	36
Imperial	0	5	0	0	2	10	1	2	3	0	11
Kern	0	23	2	1	4	37	0	2	7	0	5
Los Angeles	3	29	5	3	9	39	11	2	17	1	21
Monterey	0	15	0	1	0	22	5	3	2	0	24
Orange	0	16	0	1	3	26	1	2	6	1	8
Placer	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Riverside	0	3	0	1	1	6	1	0	0	0	1
Sacramento	2	6	4	0	1	18	5	2	6	0	20
San Benito	0	5	0	1	1	11	0	0	2	0	3
San Diego	1	14	2	1	7	37	3	3	7	1	6
San Francisco	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
San Mateo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Santa Barbara	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	2
Santa Clara	0	2	0	0	3	6	0	1	0	1	5
Santa Cruz	0	7	3	0	3	13	4	3	2	0	21
Tulare	2	11	2	2	3	61	7	9	6	0	68
<u>Utah</u>											
Salt Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<u>Washington</u>											
King	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
TOTALS:	8	149	20	12	41	325	46	35	65	4	245

ANALYSIS OF CASES LISTED AS "DEPENDENT"
Colorado River Relocation Center
November 10, 1944

State and County	No. Depend. Fam. Units	No. Individ. included.	Citizenship of head	
			Alien -----	Cit.
<u>Arizona</u>				
Maricopa	5	11	4	1
Pima	1	1	1	0
<u>Utah</u>				
Salt Lake	1	1	1	0
<u>Washington</u>				
King	1	1	1	0
<u>California</u>				
Alameda	3	5	2	1
Fresno	87	230	62	5
Imperial	25	95	22	3
Kern	57	115	57	0
Los Angeles	95	303	65	10
Monterey	48	240	41	7
Orange	50	196	43	7
Placer	2	10	2	0
Riverside	7	22	7	0
Sacramento	41	189	35	8
San Benito	16	56	13	3
San Bernardino	12	45	11	1
San Diego	71	262	67	4
San Francisco	3	8	3	0
San Joaquin	1	1	1	0
San Luis Obispo	2	5	1	1
San Mateo	1	4	0	1
Santa Barbara	7	14	7	0
Santa Clara	11	67	11	0
Santa Cruz	35	189	32	3
Tulare	121	361	113	8
Grand total	683	2,421	622	61

Colorado River Relocation Center
Yuma, Arizona

State and County	No. of Families	UNIT I		Citizenship of head	
		No of Individuals		alien	citizen
ARIZONA					
Maricopa	5	11		4	1
Pima	1	1		1	
WASHINGTON					
King	1	1		1	
CALIFORNIA					
Fresno	3	4		3	
Imperial	24	87		21	3
Kern	53	111		53	
Los Angeles	72	252		65	7
Monterey	9	28		9	
Orange	49 50	184 186		42 43	7
Riverside	7	22		7	
Sacramento	1	1		1	
San Benito	11	30		10	1
San Bernardino	5	22		5	
San Diego	33	114		30	3
San Francisco	1	1		1	
Santa Barbara	4 5	8 10		4 5	
Santa Clara	4	27		4	
Santa Cruz	8	42		7	1
Tulare	6	10		6	
TOTAL	290 297 299	941 958 962		268 274 276	22 23 23

Unit II

<u>State and County</u>	<u>Number of Families</u>	<u>Number of Persons in Families</u>	<u>City Status of Head</u>	
			<u>alien</u>	<u>City</u>
California			25	3
Fresno	28	117	4	0
Kern	4	4	8	0
Los Angeles	8	15	31	7
Monterey	38	207	2	0
Placer	2	10	—	—
Riverside	—	—	—	—
Sacramento	40	188	34	6
San Benito	4	21	3	1
San Bernardino	7	23	6	1
San Diego	2	5	1	1
San Francisco	1	1	1	0
San Joaquin	1	1	1	0
San Mateo	1	4	0	1
Santa Barbara	1	3	1	0
Santa Clara	5	30	5	0
Santa Cruz	27	147	25	2
Tulare	41	162	37	4

210

21. State any type of leave previously applied for, and indicate whether leave clearance has previously been applied for, giving date and place of application.

22. If employment is desired, but no definite offer has been received, list the kinds of employment acceptable in order of preference.

First choice: _____
Second choice: _____
Third choice: _____

Preference as to location of employment.

First choice: _____
Second choice: _____
Third choice: _____

NOTE: Any person who knowingly and wilfully falsifies or conceals a material fact or makes a false or fraudulent statement or representation in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States is liable to a fine of not more than \$10,000 or ten years' imprisonment, or both.

(Date)

(Signature)

Unit III

State and County	Number of Families	Number of Persons in Families	City States and Other	Cities
California				
Alameda	3	5	2	1
Fresno	36	109	34	2
Imperial	1	8	1	0
Los Angeles	15	36	12	3
Monterey	1	5	1	0
San Benito	1	5	0	1
San Diego	36	143	36	0
San Francisco	1	6	1	0
San Luis Obispo	2	5	1	1
Santa Barbara	1	1	1	0
Santa Clara	2	10	2	0
Yuba	74	189	70	4

Utah

Salt Lake Co.

1

1

1

0

174

21. State any type of leave previously applied for, and indicate whether leave clearance has previously been applied for, giving date and place of application.

22. If employment is desired, but no definite offer has been received, list the kinds of employment acceptable in order of preference.

First choice: _____
Second choice: _____
Third choice: _____

Preference as to location of employment.

First choice: _____
Second choice: _____
Third choice: _____

NOTE: Any person who knowingly and wilfully falsifies or conceals a material fact or makes a false or fraudulent statement or representation in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States is liable to a fine of not more than \$10,000 or ten years' imprisonment, or both.

(Date)

(Signature)

Analysis of Categories - Unit I
Approx. Population -
November 10, 1944

<u>State - County</u>	<u>Blind</u>	<u>Chr. Ill.</u>	<u>Cripple</u>	<u>Deaf</u>	<u>Mental</u>	<u>Old Age</u>	<u>One Parent Absent</u>	<u>Phys. Handi.</u>	<u>Unattchd T.B. Child</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>California</u>										
Fresno	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
Imperial	0	5	0	0	2	10	1	2	3	10
Kern	0	21	0	1	3	35	0	1	7	5
Los Angeles	2	27	4	2	7	28	9	2	16	7
Monterey	0	5	0	1	0	7	1	0	0	2
Orange	0	16	0	1	3	26	1	2	6	8
Riverside	0	3	0	1	1	6	1	0	0	1
Sacramento	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
San Benito	0	5	0	0	1	10	0	0	1	0
San Bernardino	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
San Diego	1	10	1	1	1	16	2	0	4	6
San Francisco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1
Santa Cruz	0	3	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	2
Tulare	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	0
<u>Arizona</u>										
Maricopa	0	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Pima	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
<u>Washington</u>										
King	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Analysis of Categories - Unit I
Approx. Population -
November 10, 1944

Blind

<u>State - County</u>	<u>Blind</u>	<u>Chr.Ill.</u>	<u>Cripple</u>	<u>Deaf</u>	<u>Mental</u>	<u>Old Age</u>	<u>One Parent</u> <u>Absent</u>	<u>Phys.Handi.</u>	<u>Unattchd</u> <u>T.B.</u>	<u>Child</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>California</u>											
Fresno	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Imperial	0	5	0	0	2	10	1	2	3	0	10
Kern	0	21	1	1	3	35	0	1	7	0	5
Los Angeles	2	27	4	2	7	28	9	2	16	1	7
Monterey	0	5	0	1	0	7	1	0	0	0	2
Orange	0	16	0	1	3	26	1	2	6	1	8
Riverside	0	3	0	1	1	6	1	0	0	0	1
Sacramento	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
San Benito	0	5	0	0	1	10	0	0	1	0	0
San Bernardino	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1
San Diego	1	10	1	1	1	16	2	0	4	1	6
San Francisco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1
Santa Cruz	0	3	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	2
Tulare	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	0	0
<u>Arizona</u>											
Maricopa	0	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Pima	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Washington</u>											
King	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

(Tentative only.
Complete report
to follow)

Analysis of Categories (Unit II)

Approx. Population -- 2400

Nov 10, 1944

State and County	Blind	Chr. Ill.	Cripple	Deaf	Mental	Old Age	One Parent Absent	Phys. Handi.	T.B.	Other
------------------	-------	-----------	---------	------	--------	---------	----------------------	--------------	------	-------

California

Fresno	0	0	0	0	0	14	4	2	1	13
Kern	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	4
Los A.	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0
Monterey	0	10	0	0	0	15	3	3	2	21
Placer	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Sacramento	2	6	4	0	1	18	5	2	5	20
San Bernito	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	0	1	3
San Bernardino	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	4
San Diego	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
San Francisco	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Santa Barbara	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Santa Clara	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	2
Santa Cruz	0	4	3	0	2	10	3	3	1	19
Tulare	0	8	0	2	1	18	3	5	1	21

Total

Analysis of Categories (Unit III)
Approx. Population

[illegible]

Analysis of Categories (Unit III)
Approx. Population

[illegible]

Colorado River Relocation Center
Poston, Arizona

January 29, 1945

MEMORANDUM TO: Welfare Supervisors

SUBJECT: Estimates of dependency of families when moving to other communities. Report due February 9, 1945.

A revised report on dependency is requested by the Washington Office. It is suggested that before you complete the report, you bring your file up to date as well as possible revising the cards. The district workers might be asked to add the primary problems under "Remarks", and check case of doubtful dependency. Such revisions should be in conformity with revised pages in Welfare Handbook 30.4.44.

The content of the report due on February 9 is illustrated on the attached sample forms.

You will note that in one of these reports, we count each family only once in the category which seems most important to us. Please note under "Remarks" on Form WRA 370 the category of need which you think is most appropriate, using categories A, B, C, D, and E as described in Welfare Handbook 30.4.44 A to E and abbreviated on the attached form. Then, in counting cards for this report, we will count the category you have noted under "Remarks" instead of the special problems as checked. Each card will be counted only once for this report.

The second report is like one we have used before, in which we count problems, not families or persons, as checked on WRA 370. For this report, the eleven special problems listed on the form should be used. It will not be necessary to tabulate the second report by counties.

This information is necessary in planning with state agencies and the Social Security Board. However, it will not be assumed that we have established eligibility for assistance, as eligibility will be determined by the agency in the locality of future residence.

This report should be in my office by February 9.

Lou E. Butler
Head Counselor
Welfare Section

Attachment-2

LEB:mjk

ESTIMATE OF DEPENDENCY

TABLE NO 1. NUMBER OF FAMILY UNITS IN EACH OF FIVE
GENERAL CATEGORIES OF NEED, BY COUNTY OF PRE-EVACUATION ADDRESSES

COUNTY	TOTALS		* CATEGORY OF NEED				
	Number Persons in Families	Number Families	"A" Aged	"B" Dependent Children	"C" Handicapped Special	"D" Other	"E" Unattached Children
Arizona							
Maricopa							
Yuma							
etc.							
California							
Alameda							
Los Angeles							
San Francisco							
Tulare							
etc.							
Oregon							
Clatsop							
Jackson							
etc.							
Washington							
King							
Whatcom							
etc.							

*Count each family once only, in category which seems most important.

TABLE NO. 2. NUMBERS AND CATEGORIES OF PROBLEMS AND SERVICES EXPECTED TO BE REQUIRED BY RESIDENTS OF COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER.

Special Problems	Number
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Blind 2. Chronic Illness 3. Crippled 4. Deaf 5. Mental Illness 6. Old Age 7. One Parent Absent 8. Physical Handicap (other) 9. Tuberculosis 10. Unattached Child 11. Other 	

Colorado River Relocation Center
 Poston, Arizona
 February 10, 1945

25

ESTIMATE OF DEPENDENCY

TABLE NO. 1. NUMBER OF FAMILY UNITS IN EACH OF FIVE
 GENERAL CATEGORIES OF NEED, BY COUNTY OF PRE-EVAQUATION ADDRESS

COUNTY	TOTALS		CATEGORY OF NEED				
	Number Persons in Families	Number Families	"A" Aged	"B" Dependent Children	"C" Handicapped Special	"D" Other	"E" Unattached Children
<u>ARIZONA</u>							
Maricopa	8	4	3	1	-	-	-
Pima	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
<u>CALIFORNIA</u>							
Alameda	16	6	-	1	1	4	-
Fresno	247	75	31	4	3	37	-
Imperial	114	41	15	3	7	16	-
Kern	140	72	33	6	13	20	-
Los Angeles	320	94	29	20	16	27	1
Monterey	245	52	14	6	2	30	-
Orange	160	55	27	5	9	14	-
Placer	10	2	2	-	-	-	-
Riverside	46	14	9	2	1	2	-
Sacramento	127	32	16	4	3	9	-
San Benito	63	17	10	1	4	2	-
San Bernardino	46	11	2	1	3	5	-
San Diego	287	84	35	6	9	33	1
San Francisco	9	4	-	-	-	4	-
San Joaquin	1	1	-	-	1	-	-
San Luis Obispo	7	4	3	-	-	2	-
San Mateo	4	1	-	-	-	1	-
Santa Barbara	27	10	3	1	-	6	-
Santa Clara	99	19	7	1	1	9	-
Santa Cruz	176	37	19	3	2	15	-
Tulare	394	140	64	7	12	57	-

ESTIMATE OF DEPENDENCY

-2-

February 10, 1945

COUNTY	TOTALS		CATEGORY OF NEED				
	Number Persons in Families	Number Families	"A" Aged	"B" Dependent Children	"C" Handicapped Special	"D" Other	"E" Unattached Children
<u>UTAH</u>							
Salt Lake	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
<u>WASHINGTON</u>							
King	1	1	1	1	-	-	-

Lou E. Butler
Head Counselor
Welfare Section

Colorado River Relocation Center
Poston, Arizona
February 10, 1945

TABLE NO. 2. NUMBERS AND CATEGORIES OF PROBLEMS AND SERVICES EXPECTED
TO BE REQUESTED BY RESIDENTS OF COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER.

<u>Special Problems</u>	<u>Number</u>
1. Blind	15
2. Chronic Illness	230
3. Crippled	29
4. Deaf	10
5. Mental Illness	39
6. Old Age	316
7. One Parent Absent	41
8. Physical Handicap (Other)	24
9. Tuberculosis	144
10. Unattached Child	2
11. Other	146

Lou E. Butler
Head Counselor
Welfare Section

ESTIMATE OF DEPENDENCY

TABLE NO 1. NUMBER OF FAMILY UNITS IN EACH OF FIVE
GENERAL CATEGORIES OF NEED, BY COUNT OF PRE-EVACUATION ADDRESS

COUNTY	TOTALS		*CATEGORY OF NEED				
	Number Persons in Families	Number Families	"A" Aged	"B" Dependent Children	"C" Handicapped Special	"D" Other	"E" Unattached Children
<u>Arizona</u>							
Maricopa	8	4	3	1			
Pima	1	1	1				
<u>California</u>							
Fresno	3	3	3				
Imperial	113	40	15	3	7	15	
Kern	134	67	30	6	13	18	
Los Angeles	232	69	20	17	14	16	1
Monterey	43	14	7	1	1	5	
Orange	151 ²	53 ⁴	27	5	8	18 ⁴	
Riverside	38	13	9	2	1	1	
San Bernardino	23	6	2		1	3	
San Benito	42	13	8	1	4		
San Diego	96	33	16	2	6	8	1
San Francisco	1	1				1	
San Luis Obispo	2	2	2			1	
(Santa Ana)	1	1				1	
Santa Barbara	9	4	3	1			
Santa Clara	12	4	3				
Santa Cruz	41	14	11	1	1	3	
Tulare	13	6	1	1	3	1	
UTAH							
Washington							
King	1	1	1				
	<u>964</u>	<u>349</u>	<u>162</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>2</u>

*Count each family once only, in category which seems most important.

I
TABLE NO. 2. NUMBERS AND CATEGORIES OF PROBLEMS AND SERVICES EXPECTED
TO BE REQUIRED BY RESIDENTS OF COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER.

Special Problems	Number
1. Blind	5
2. Chronic Illness	86
3. Crippled	6
4. Deaf	3
5. Mental Illness	22
6. Old Age	169
7. One Parent Absent	119
8. Physical Handicap (Other)	6
9. Tuberculosis	292
10. Unattached Child	2
11. Other	59

Ella H. Millings
Unit I
Welfare Section

WELFARE SECTION
UNIT II
2-9-45

ESTIMATE OF DEPENDENCY

II

TABLE I NUMBER OF FAMILY UNITS IN EACH OF FIVE GENERAL CATEGORIES OF NEED, BY COUNT OF PRE-EVACUATION ADDRESSES

COUNTY	TOTALS		CATEGORY OF NEED				
	NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY	NUMBER OF FAMILIES	"A" AGED	"B" DEPENDENT CHILDREN	"C" HANDICAPPED SPECIAL	"D" OTHER	"E" UNATTACHED CHILDREN
CALIFORNIA	807	199	71	16	12	100	0
Fresno	95	25	8	3	1	13	-
Orange	8	1	-	-	1	-	-
Los Angeles	15	8	4	1	1	2	-
San Francisco	2	2	-	-	-	20	-
Tulare	97	40	18	1	-	2	-
Kern	6	5	3	-	-	24	-
Monterey	195	36	7	4	-	-	-
Placer	10	2	2	-	-	9	-
Sacramento	127	32	16	4	3	2	-
San Benito	16	3	1	-	2	2	-
San Bernardino	23	5	-	1	-	-	-
San Diego	5	2	2	-	1	-	-
San Joaquin	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
San Mateo	4	1	-	-	-	9	-
Santa Barbara	3	1	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	64	11	2	-	1	12	-
Santa Cruz	135	23	8	2	-	1	-
Imperial	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare							

R WEITZ

WELFARE SECTION
UNIT II
2-9-45

TABLE NO. 2. NUMBERS AND CATEGORIES OF PROBLEMS AND SERVICES EXPECTED
TO BE REQUIRED BY RESIDENTS OF COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER.

Special Problems	Number
1. Blind	4
2. Chronic Illness	78
3. Grippled	18
4. Deaf	3
5. Mental Illness	11
6. Old Age	85
7. One Parent Absent	12
8. Physical Handicap (Other)	14
9. Tuberculosis	26
10. Unattached Child	0
11. Other	47

RWEITZ

171

Colorado River Relocation Center
 Poston, Arizona
 Feb. 10, 1945

42
 ESTIMATE OF DEPENDENCY

25
 TABLE NO. 1. NUMBER OF FAMILY UNITS IN EACH OF FIVE
 GENERAL CATEGORIES OF NEED, BY COUNTY OF PRE-EVACUATION ADDRESS

COUNTY	30 TOTALS		60 CATEGORY OF NEED				
	25 Number Persons in Families	35 Number Families	45 "A" Aged	55 "B" Dependent Children	65 "C" Handicapped Special	75 "D" Other	85 "E" Unattached Children
CALIFORNIA							
Alameda	16	6	None	1	1	4	--
Fresno	149	47	20	1	2	24	--
Los Angeles	73	17	5	2	1	9	--
Monterey	7	2	----	1	----	1	--
Riverside	8	1	----	----	----	1	--
San Benito	5	1	1	----	----	----	--
San Diego	186	49	17	4	3	25	--
San Francisco	6	1	----	----	----	1	--
San Luis Obispo	5	2	1	----	----	1	--
Santa Barbara	15	5	----	----	----	5	--
Santa Clara	23	4	2	1	1	----	--
Tulare	284	94	45	5	8	36	--
UTAH							
Salt Lake	1	1	----	----	----	1	--

Lavinia J. McGee
 (Mrs.) Lavinia J. McGee
 Family Welfare Section
 Unit III

TABLE NO. 2. NUMBERS AND CATEGORIES OF PROBLEMS AND SERVICES EXPECTED TO BE REQUIRED BY RESIDENTS OF COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER.

Special Problems	Number
1. Blind	6
2. Chronic Illness	66
3. Crippled	5
4. Deaf	4
5. Mental Illness	6
6. Old Age	62
7. One Parent Absent	10
8. Physical Handicap (Other)	4
9. Tuberculosis	26
10. Unattached Child	0
11. other	40

ANALYSIS OF CASES LISTED AS "DEPENDENT"

Colorado River Relocation Center

November 30, 1944

State and County	No. Depend. Fam. Units	No. Individ. included.	Citizenship of head Alien-----Cit.	
California				
Imperial	1	1	1	0
Fresno	33	126	30	3
Kern	5	6	5	0
Los Angeles	8	15	7	1
Monterey	44	223	37	7
Orange	1	8	1	0
Placer	2	10	2	0
Sacramento	39	167	35	4
San Benito	3	16	3	0
San Bernardino	8	27	6	2
San Diego	2	5	1	1
San Francisco	2	2	2	0
San Joaquin	1	1	1	0
San Mateo	1	4	0	1
Santa Barbara	1	3	1	0
Santa Clara	8	51	8	0
Santa Cruz	33	175	29	3
Tulare	42	160	39	3
Grand total	234	1090	208	25

Analysis of Categories
Approximate Population -
November 30, 1944

<u>State - County</u>	<u>Blind</u>	<u>Chr.Ill.</u>	<u>Cripple</u>	<u>Deaf</u>	<u>Mental</u>	<u>Old Age</u>	<u>One Parent Absent</u>	<u>Phys.Handi.</u>	<u>TB.</u>	<u>Unattached Child</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>California</u>											
Imperial	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	0	13	0	0	1	15	4	2	2	0	9
Kern	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Los Angeles	1	2	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	3
Monterey	1	20	0	0	0	15	3	3	4	0	19
Orange	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Placer	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sacramento	2	6	4	0	2	18	4	2	9	0	14
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
San Bernardino	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	4
San Diego	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Santa Barbara	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Santa Clara	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	2	1	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	6	4	0	3	11	3	3	4	0	15
Tulare	0	14	1	2	2	16	3	4	5	0	19
TOTALS:	5	70	14	3	11	93	18	17	31	0	86

Consolidation of
3 Units is found
in Monthly Reports
November, 1944

ANALYSIS OF CASES LISTED AS "DEPENDENT"

Colorado River Relocation Center
November 30, 1944

Unit 1

<u>State and County</u>	<u>No. Depend. Fam. Units</u>	<u>No. Indiv. included</u>	<u>Citizenship of Head Alien</u>	<u>Citizen</u>
<u>Arizona</u>				
Maricopa	7	20	6	1
Pima	1	1	1	0
Yuma	1	5	1	0
<u>California</u>				
✓ Fresno	4	7	4	0
✓ Imperial	38-64	156-225	35-61	3
✓ Kern	62-6	142-17	60-4	2
Los Angeles	44-91	304-14	74-8	134
✓ Monterey	12-3	43	12	0
✓ Orange	53-8	232-40	45-50	8
* Riverside	12-16	57-61	11-4	12
✓ Sacramento	1	1	1	0
✓ San Benito	16	57	14	2
✓ San Bernardino	4-6	12-24	4-5	0
✓ San Diego	36-40	135-51	34-9	2
✓ San Francisco	1	1	1	0
✓ San Joaquin	1	2	0	1
✓ Santa Barbara	4	9	4	0
* Santa Clara	67	42-3	67	0
✓ Santa Cruz	10-13	67-78	9-12	1
✓ Tulare	7	14	6	1
<u>Washington</u>				
King	1	1	1	0
<u>TOTAL</u>	364	1307	329	3537
November 11/30	368	1328	331	37
		1330		

December Total 12/31

418

1314

401

38

418

1444

381

38

ANALYSIS OF CASES LISTED AS "DEPENDENT"

Colorado River Relocation Center

November 30, 1944

Unit II

State and County	No. Depend. Fam. Units	No. Individ. included.	Citizenship of head Alien-----Cit.	
<u>California</u>				
✓Imperial	1	1	1	0
✓Fresno	33	126	30	3
✓Kern	5	6	5	0
✓Los Angeles	8	15	7	1
✓Monterey	44	223	37	7
✓Orange	1	8	1	0
✓Placer	2	10	2	0
✓Sacramento	39	167	35	4
✓San Benito	3	16	3	0
✓San Bernardino	8	27	6	2
✓San Diego	2	5	1	1
✓San Francisco	2	2	2	0
✓San Joaquin	1	1	1	0
✓San Mateo	1	4	0	1
✓Santa Barbara	1	3	1	0
✓Santa Clara	8	51	8	0
✓Santa Cruz	33	175	29	54
✓Tulare	42	160	39	3
Grand total	234	1000	208	2526

Analysis of Categories
Approximate Population -
November 30, 1944

<u>State - County</u>	<u>Blind</u>	<u>Chr.Ill.</u>	<u>Cripple</u>	<u>Deaf</u>	<u>Mental</u>	<u>Old Age</u>	<u>One Parent</u>		<u>Phys.Handi.</u>	<u>TB.</u>	<u>Unattached</u>	
							<u>Absent</u>				<u>Child</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>California</u>												
Imperial	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	0	13	0	0	1	15	4	2	2	2	0	9
Kern	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	1	2	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	3
Monterey	1	20	0	0	0	15	3	3	4	4	0	19
Orange	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Placer	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sacramento	2	6	4	0	2	18	4	2	9	9	0	14
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2
San Bernardino	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	0	4
San Diego	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Santa Barbara	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Santa Clara	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	2	1	1	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	6	4	0	3	11	3	3	4	4	0	15
Tulare	0	14	1	2	2	16	3	4	5	5	0	19
TOTALS:	5	70	14	3	11	93	18	17	31	31	0	86

ANALYSIS OF CASES LISTED AS "DEPENDENT"

Unit III

November 30, 1944

Unit III

State and County	No. Depend. Fam. Units	No Individ. included.	<u>Citizenship of head</u>	
			Alien -----	Cit.
<u>Utah</u>				
Salt Lake	1	1	1	0
<u>California</u>				
✓ Alameda	6	16	5	1
✓ Fresno	44	139	39	5
✓ Imperial Valley	1	8	1	0
✓ Los Angeles	19	75	15	4
✓ Monterey	2	7	2	0
✓ San Benito	1	5	0	1
✓ San Diego	49	200	47	2
✓ San Francisco	1	6	1	0
✓ San Luis Obispo	2	5	1	1
✓ Santa Barbara	4	12	4	0
✓ Santa Clara	4	23	4	0
Tulare	91	289	79	12
Grand total	<u>225</u>	<u>786</u>	<u>199</u>	<u>26</u>

Analysis of Categories
Approximate Population
Unit III
November 30, 1944

<u>State - County</u>	<u>Blind</u>	<u>Chr. Ill</u>	<u>Cripple</u>	<u>Deaf</u>	<u>Mental</u>	<u>Old Age</u>	<u>One Parent Absent</u>	<u>Phys. Handi.</u>	<u>T.B.</u>	<u>Unattached Child</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>California</u>											
Alameda	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Fresno	0	17	2	1	4	22	1	2	5	0	9
Imperial	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	0	4	1	1	1	6	2	0	5	0	10
Monterey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Santa Barbara	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
San Benito	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
San Diego	0	17	2	1	4	19	1	2	12	0	18
San Francisco	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Tulare	4	26	3	1	4	48	4	4	7	0	34
<u>Utah</u>											
Salt Lake City	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS:	4	73	8	5	13	101	10	8	32	0	77

As of December 31, 1944

COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER
Poston, Arizona

ANALYSIS OF CASES LISTED AS "DEPENDENT"

<u>State and County</u>	<u>No. Depend.</u> <u>Fam. Units</u>	<u>No. Indiv.</u> <u>Included</u>	<u>Citizenship of Head</u> <u>Alien</u>	<u>Citizen</u>
<u>Arizona</u>				
Maricopa	7	20	6	1
Pima	1	1	1	0
Yuma	1	5	1	0
<u>California</u>				
Alameda	6	16	5	1
Fresno	81	272	73	8
Imperial	66	234	63	3
Kern	71	153	69	2
Los Angeles	118	404	100	19
Monterey	59	282	52	7
Orange	59	248	51	8
Placer	2	10	2	0
Riverside	16	61	14	2
Sacramento	40	168	36	4
San Benito	20	78	17	3
San Bernardino	14	51	11	3
San Diego	91	356	87	5
San Francisco	4	9	4	0
San Luis Obispo	2	5	1	1
San Joaquin	2	3	1	1
San Mateo	1	4	0	1
Santa Barbara	9	24	9	0
Santa Clara	20	87	20	0
Santa Cruz	46	253	41	5
Tulare	140	463	124	16
<u>Utah</u>				
Salt Lake City	1	1	1	0
<u>Washington</u>				
King	1	1	1	0
Total	879	3249	790	89

II

ANALYSIS OF CASES LISTED AS "DEPENDENT"

Colorado River Relocation Center

December 31, 1944

Unit II

State and County	No. Depend. Fam. Units	No. Individ. included.	Citizenship of Head	
			Alien - - - -	-Cit.
<u>California</u>				
Imperial	1	1	1	0
Fresno	33	126	30	3
Kern	5	6	5	0
Los Angeles	8	15	7	1
Monterey	45	232	38	7
Orange	1	8	1	0
Placer	2	10	2	0
Sacramento	39	167	35	4
San Benito	3	16	3	0
San Bernardino	8	27	6	2
San Diego	2	5	1	1
San Francisco	2	2	2	0
San Joaquin	1	1	1	0
San Mateo	1	4	0	1
Santa Barbara	1	3	1	0
Santa Clara	9	61	9	0
Santa Cruz	33	175	29	3
Tulare	<u>42</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>3</u>
Grand Total	236	1019	210	25

ANALYSIS OF CATEGORIES
Approximate Population -
December 31, 1944

<u>State - County</u>	<u>Blind</u>	<u>Chr.Ill.</u>	<u>Cripple</u>	<u>Deaf</u>	<u>Mental</u>	<u>Old Age</u>	<u>One parent Absent</u>	<u>Phys. Handi.</u>	<u>Unattached T.B.</u>	<u>Child</u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>California</u>											
Imperial	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	0	13	0	0	1	15	4	2	2	0	0
Kern	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Los Angeles	1	2	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	3
Monterey	1	21	0	0	0	16	3	3	4	0	20
Orange	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Placer	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sacramento	2	6	4	0	2	18	4	2	9	0	14
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
San Bernardino	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	4
San Diego	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Santa Barbara	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Santa Clara	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	2	1	0	1
Santa Cruz	0	6	4	0	3	11	3	3	4	0	15
Tulare	0	14	1	2	2	16	3	4	5	0	19
TOTALS:	5	71	14	3	11	94	18	17	31	0	88

COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER
Poston, Arizona

July 20, 1945.

		MRS. BLACK	MRS. LIGHT	MISS TOMLINSON	MISS STARKEY	MISS GONZALEZ	
	BLOCKS:	325, 6, 7	329-30	322-3	305, 6, 7	316-7-8	
		328 (8-15)	328 (8-15)	328 (1-7)	8, 9, 10		
I.	NUMBER OF DEPENDENCY CASES:						
	Totals	157	47	12	14	46	38
II.	LEGAL RESIDENCES--COUNTIES:						
	Fresno	26	10	0	4	12	
	Tulare	67	26	0	24	17	
	San L. Obispo	5	3	0	2	0	
	L. A. County	12	1	0	7	2	
	Alameda	1	1	0	0	0	
	Orange	4	2	0	2	0	
	San Benito	1	1	0	0	0	
	Contra Costa	2	0	0	1	1	
	San Diego	21	0	9	12	0	
	Monterey	2	0	0	1	1	
	Santa Barbara	4	0	0	4	0	
	Santa Clara	3	0	0	3	0	
	S. F. County	1	0	0	0	1	
	Mexico	1	0	1	0	0	
	Undetermined	7					
		157	44	10	14	48	34
III.	CAUSES OF DEPENDENCY: S-TOTALS:						
	1. Blind	3	2	0	1	0	
	2. Chronic Illness	64	14	4	7	24	15
	3. Cripple	0					
	4. Deaf	0					
	5. Mental Illness	3	2	1	0	0	0
	6. Old Age	53	17	7	3	9	17
	7. One Parent Absent	1	1	0	0	0	0
	8. Physical Handicap	7	5	0	2	0	0
	9. Tuberculosis	14	3	0	0	8	3
	10. Unattached Child	0					
	11. Widow	6	0	1	1	4	0
	12. Numerous Infants	2	0	0	0	0	2
	13. Luetic	4	3	0	1	0	0
		157	47	13	14	46	37
IV.	SUMMARIES SENT TO AREAS: 20	5	2	2	11	(20*)	
V.	REPLIES RECEIVED:	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Completed awaiting affidavits.

COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER
Poston, Arizona

July 20, 1945.

	BLOCKS:	MRS. BLACK 325, 6, 7	MRS. LIGHT 323-30 326 (8-15)	MISS TOMLINSON 322-5 323 (1-7)	MISS STARKEY 305, 6, 7 8, 9, 10	MESS GONZALEZ 516-7-8
I. NUMBER OF DEPENDENCY CASES:						
Totals	157	47	12	14	46	38
II. LEGAL RESIDENCES--COUNTIES:						
Fresno	26	10	0	0	4	12
Tulare	67	26	0	0	24	17
San L. Obispo	5	5	0	0	2	0
L. A. County	12	1	0	2	7	2
Alameda	1	1	0	0	0	0
Orange	4	2	0	0	2	0
San Benito	1	1	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	2	0	0	0	1	1
San Diego	21	0	9	12	0	0
Monterey	2	0	0	0	1	1
Santa Barbara	4	0	0	0	4	0
Santa Clara	3	0	0	0	3	0
S. F. County	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mexico	1	0	1	0	0	0
Undetermined	7					
	157	44	10	14	48	34
III. CAUSES OF DEPENDENCY: S-TOTALS:						
1. Blind	3	2	0	0	1	0
2. Chronic Illness	64	14	4	7	24	15
3. Cripple	0					
4. Deaf	0					
5. Mental Illness	3	2	1	0	0	0
6. Old Age	52	17	7	3	9	17
7. One Parent Absent	1	1	0	0	0	0
8. Physical Handicap	7	5	0	2	0	0
9. Tuberculosis	14	3	0	0	8	3
10. Unattached Child	0					
11. Widow	6	0	1	1	4	0
12. Numerous Infants	2	0	0	0	0	2
13. Luetic	4	3	0	1	0	0
	157	47	13	14	46	37
IV. SUMMARIES SENT TO AREAS:	20	5	2	2	11	(20*)
V. REPLIES RECEIVED:	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Completed awaiting affidavits.

COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER
Poston, Arizona

July 20, 1945.

	BLOCKS:	MRS. BLACK 325, 3, 7	MRS. LIGHT 323-30 323 (3-15)	MISS TOMLINSON 322-5 323 (1-7)	MISS STARKEY 305, 3, 7 3, 9, 10	MISS CONZALEZ 316-7-8
I. NUMBER OF DEPENDENCY CASES:						
Totals	157	47	12	14	46	38
II. LEGAL RESIDENCES--COUNTIES:						
Fresno	26	10	0	0	4	12
Tulare	67	26	0	0	24	17
San L. Obispo	5	5	0	0	2	0
L. A. County	12	1	0	2	7	2
Alameda	1	1	0	0	0	0
Orange	4	2	0	0	2	0
San Benito	1	1	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	2	0	0	0	1	1
San Diego	21	0	9	12	0	0
Monterey	2	0	0	0	1	1
Santa Barbara	4	0	0	0	4	0
Santa Clara	5	0	0	0	3	0
S. F. County	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mexico	1	0	1	0	0	0
Undetermined	7					
	157	44	10	14	48	34
III. CAUSES OF DEPENDENCY: S-TOTALS:						
1. Blind	3	2	0	0	1	0
2. Chronic Illness	64	14	4	7	24	15
3. Cripple	0					
4. Deaf	0					
5. Mental Illness	3	3	1	0	0	0
6. Old Age	53	17	7	3	9	17
7. One Parent Absent	1	1	0	0	0	0
8. Physical Handicap	7	5	0	2	0	0
9. Tuberculosis	14	3	0	0	3	3
10. Unattached Child	0					
11. Widow	6	0	1	1	4	0
12. Numerous Infants	2	0	0	0	0	2
13. Lnetic	4	3	0	1	0	0
	157	47	15	14	46	37
IV. SUMMARIES SENT TO AREAS: 20		5	2	2	11	(20*)
V. REPLIES RECEIVED:	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Completed awaiting
affidavits.

COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER
Poston, Arizona

July 20, 1945.

		MRS. BLACK	MRS. LIGHT	MISS TOMLINSON	MISS STARKY	MISS CONZALEE
	BLOCKS:	225, 2, 7	225-20 225 (8-15)	225-3 225 (1-7)	205, 2, 7 2, 9, 10	216-7-8
I. NUMBER OF DEPENDENCY CASES:						
Totals	157	47	12	14	46	38
II. LEGAL RESIDENCES--COUNTRIES:						
Fresno	25	10	0	0	4	12
Tulare	67	26	0	0	24	17
San L. Obispo	5	3	0	0	2	0
L. A. County	12	1	0	2	7	2
Alameda	1	1	0	0	0	0
Orange	4	2	0	0	2	0
San Benito	1	1	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	2	0	0	0	1	1
San Diego	21	0	9	12	0	0
Monterey	2	0	0	0	1	1
Santa Barbara	4	0	0	0	4	0
Santa Clara	5	0	0	0	5	0
S. F. County	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mexico	1	0	1	0	0	0
Undetermined	7					
	157	46	10	14	46	34
III. CAUSES OF DEPENDENCY: S-TOTALS:						
1. Blind	3	2	0	0	1	0
2. Chronic Illness	84	14	4	7	24	15
3. Cripple	0					
4. Deaf	0					
5. Mental Illness	3	2	1	0	0	0
6. Old Age	55	17	7	3	9	17
7. One Parent absent	1	1	0	0	0	0
8. Physical Handicap	7	5	0	2	0	0
9. Tuberculosis	14	3	0	0	8	3
10. Unattached Child	0					
11. Widow	0	0	1	1	4	0
12. Numerous Infants	2	0	0	0	0	2
13. Lactio	4	3	0	1	0	0
	157	47	13	14	46	37
IV. SUMMARIES SENT TO AREAS: 20		5	5	3	11	(20*)
V. REPLIES RECEIVED:	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Completed awaiting affidavits.

COLORADO RIVER RELOCATION CENTER
Poston, Arizona

Relocation Division

Office of Dependency Benefits

A. 1. Family allowances:

Class A - Wife and children	Payments includes
Class B - Parents and brothers	a deduction from
and sisters	soldier's pay and a
Class B ₁ - Parents and brothers	contribution from the
and sisters	government

2. Class E Allotment of pay is paid entirely from soldier's pay.
Class B and Class B₁ payments are made only after dependency is established.

B. Forms

1. W. D. AGO 625 - application for family allowance
 - (a) Should be filed by soldier.
 - (b) If filed by dependent no approval can be made by ODB until soldier makes a written authorization.
2. W. D. AGO 620 - affidavit of dependency
 - (a) Must be completed by dependent, and must demonstrate that family's itemized average monthly expenses total more than monthly income.
3. W. D. AGO 641 - Change form - to be used for change of address, or any change of status.

War Department Booklet FA-4 is a very concise reference for specific instruction with regard to Family Allowances, or Class E. Allotment.

WRA Contact with Office of Dependency Benefits

The ODB maintains a field investigation service whose responsibility is an investigation of a percentage of all persons receiving family allowances. At WRA Centers the Project Director is requested to make the investigation and to submit a report. The request is addressed to the Project by the Director, ODB, and all replies should be addressed:

Office of Dependency Benefits
213 Washington Street
Newark 2, New Jersey

Replies are not addressed to any individual.

The purpose of the investigations is to establish that the person receiving family allowance is dependent upon the soldier for a substantial portion (less than 50%) of support.

Residents of a Center, other than the wife of a soldier, are not considered to be dependent for chief support (over 50%) since such persons receive basic maintenance for shelter, food, fuel and light without cost to themselves.

Upon relocation, a Class B dependent may become eligible for Class E-1, payments if he is dependent for chief support. See FA-4, page 9 and 10. To have the necessary change made the dependent should submit form WRA AGO 641, together with a letter or affidavit itemizing his monthly income and expenses. The resident can be advised of this possible increased income before he leaves the Center, but no change will be made until he actually is in a situation in which he must make payments for food, shelter, light and fuel.

Any person may obtain help from his local Red Cross Chapter in submitting the required information. The Red Cross also offers financial assistance during the interim period, from the time affidavits are submitted until the first payment is received, or until the application is denied.

C. R. Carter
Relocation Program Officer

ARMY SERVICE FORCES
Office of Dependency Benefits
Newark, 2, New Jersey
Table of Family Allowance Payments
Under the Amended Law

Class A	
Dependents	Monthly Amounts
Wife	\$ 50
Wife and 1 child	80
Wife and 2 children	100
Each additional child	20
Child but no wife	42
Additional children but no wife (each)	20
Wife divorced (maximum amount)	42
Wife divorced and 1 child (maximum amount)	72
Additional children of wife divorced (each)	20

Class B (Dependent for Substantial Support)	
1 parent	37
2 parents	37
1 parent and 1 brother and sister	37
Additional brothers and sisters	0
1 brother or sister but no parent	37
Additional brothers or sisters	0

Class B-1 (Dependent for Chief Support)	
1 parent	50
2 parents	68
1 parent and 1 brother or sister	68
2 parents and 1 brother or sister	79
Each additional brother or sister	11
1 brother or sister but no parent	42
2 brothers or 2 sisters but no parent	53
Each additional brother or sister	11

If you change your address or if any other change occurs which may affect your family allowance, notify the Office of Dependency Benefits at once. When writing to this office always state the soldier's full name, his Army serial number, and the family allowance application (X-) number.

THE MAJOR FAMILY ALLOWANCE CHANGES

Under the Servicemen's Dependents Allowance Act of 1942, dependents of enlisted men in the lowest four pay grades of the army were eligible for family allowance. The amendment to this law passed by Congress on October 26, 1943 extends these benefits to the dependents of aviation cadets and ALL enlisted men and women from the first to the seventh pay grades, that is, from privates up to master sergeants, inclusive.

Under the new law dependents are now divided into three classes for the purposes of family allowance:

CLASS A DEPENDENTS--Wife, child, and former wife divorced to whom alimony is still payable. A wife will receive \$50 monthly (the same as under the original law); but a wife and child will now receive \$80, with \$20 for each additional child. Persons in class A need not prove financial dependency.

CLASS B DEPENDENTS--Parents, brothers, and sisters who are dependent upon the soldier for a SUBSTANTIAL portion of their support. Only one amount, \$37, will be payable monthly to a group of class B dependents regardless of the number of such dependents, or whether there are any class A dependents. However, no payment may be made to a soldier's class B dependents while an allowance is being paid to his class B-1 dependents.

CLASS B-1 DEPENDENTS--Parents, brothers, and sisters who are dependent upon the soldier for the CHIEF portion of their support. One such parent will receive \$50; two parents, \$68; a brother or sister but no parent, \$42, with \$11 for each additional brother or sister. These amounts will not be decreased if the soldier also has class A dependents.

Class A dependents of members of the Women's Army Corps (husband and children) are eligible only if they are dependent upon the member of the Women's Army Corps for the chief portion of their support.

A soldier who enters the service on or after November 1, 1943 may, if he wishes, and if he makes application within fifteen days after entry upon active service in a pay status, have the first family allowance payment for class A and class B-1 dependents mailed as an initial payment by the finance officer paying the soldier. Such initial payment is made for the month in which the soldier enters the service and, for this payment only, no deduction will be made from the soldier's pay. After this, the regular monthly payments will be mailed from the Office of Dependency Benefits, Newark 2, New Jersey.

The rates of family allowance payments under the new law have, in some cases, been increased or decreased based upon the degree of dependency that exists, or relationship of the dependent to the soldier. The table on the first page shows the new family allowance rates.

AMERICAN RED CROSS
Pacific Area
Civic Auditorium
San Francisco, California
July 26, 1943

PCS 943 HS 94
(SAF 226)

TO: Home Service Chairmen
Home Service Workers

FROM: Director, Home Service

SUBJECT: Instructions Regarding Emergency Furlough
and Extension of Furlough

Under certain circumstances, when military reasons do not prevent, servicemen are allowed emergency furloughs or leaves on their own request or that of their family when serious illness, death or urgent business matters seem to demand their presence. Saving in time is important if an emergency furlough or leave is to serve its purpose. Additions to current procedures have been made to expedite service offered through the Red Cross in connection with these requests. These procedures modify and supersede in part those outlined in ARC 207, paragraphs 237 and 329, and ARC 236, paragraphs 17 and 18.

DEFINITIONS

1. The term serviceman used in this letter includes men and women.
2. The term naval personnel includes personnel in the Navy, Marine Corps and Coast Guard.
3. Furlough or pass is a term used by the Army. Leave or liberty is the equivalent term used by the Navy. Furlough, as used throughout this letter, refers to both furlough and leave.

PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING EMERGENCY FURLOUGHS

Permission for a furlough may be granted only by the man's commanding officer. The procedure for the Home Service worker as outlined here expedites the granting of the furlough, but should not be regarded as a substitute for the direct contact between the serviceman and his commanding officer.

With the increase in the number of armed forces, the movement of troops and the exigencies of the war, military and naval authorities are placing more restrictions on the granting of furloughs. Arrangements for furloughs are rendered increasingly difficult, due to the problems of locating a serviceman and limited facilities for communication and transportation, particularly with regard to the serviceman stationed outside the continental United States. Many men are stationed where the distance involved practically precludes a furlough being granted.

Servicemen in the United States may be ready to embark foreign stations or be en route under sealed orders, in which instances they can not be reached. It is frequently impossible to reach naval personnel quickly if they are attached to ships or mobile units.

Chapter workers should bear these factors in mind when families request help in securing furloughs for servicemen. It is impossible to tell the family whether or not the furloughs will be granted. Because of the uncertainty of reaching naval personnel, particularly, chapter Home Service workers should advise families not to defer funeral plans for a prolonged period pending word from the serviceman. In all instances, chapter Home Service workers should meet emergency needs in the family.

INFORMATION NEEDED BY AUTHORITIES IN CONSIDERING EACH REQUEST

In order to weigh each request very carefully military and naval authorities frequently have asked for full and precise details indicating how the serviceman's presence can materially relieve the situation at home. In cases of illness, a doctor's diagnosis and prognosis and a doctor's statement of the need for the serviceman's presence should be quoted, in addition to a statement as to how the serviceman's presence would improve the physical or mental condition of the ill member of the family. In cases involving business arrangements or legal matters as in the settling of an estate, the statement of the family lawyer regarding the necessity for the serviceman's presence should be included and why the serviceman's presence is essential to the financial welfare or adjustment within the family. In every situation the military and naval authorities wish to know specifically how the serviceman's presence will materially alleviate the home situation and that no other member of the family is available to act for or instead of the serviceman.

Home Service workers and field directors should be careful to include in all their communications full identifying information regarding the serviceman.

1. Full name of serviceman (not just initials).
2. Rank or rating.
3. Serial or service number.
4. Unit or organization.
5. Branch of service.
6. APO address for Army men stationed outside continental United States.
7. Ship or station of duty for naval personnel.

Requests for extension of furlough must include the following information in addition to identification of the serviceman:

1. Date furlough or leave began.
2. Date of expiration of furlough.
3. Statement whether or not serviceman has contacted commanding officer

of the Post of Embarkation or commanding officer at his base station if so, date of contact.

4. Statement of circumstances which appear to warrant extension. If there is illness, current report, together with doctor's recommendation regarding need for man's continued presence. If for business problems, lawyer's statement.

The country in which the serviceman is located should never be mentioned if other than the United States.

The location of a hip or mobile unit should not be used.

Chapter workers are urged to forego brevity in a message in order to include all of the information desired by the authorities in making their decision regarding furlough.

EMERGENCY FURLOUGH

Serviceman Requests Furlough

The serviceman initiates a request for furlough to his commanding officer after learning that conditions at home seem to require his presence. The commanding officer may grant it at once or may ask the field director at the post or station to secure further information through the serviceman's home chapter. The chapter Home Service worker, on receipt of this request, verifies illnesses and other conditions, meets any emergency needs of the family, and telegraphs the field director a statement of the circumstances and reports the action taken by the chapter. The chapter neither recommends nor approves a furlough, but states the facts of the situation, including recommendations of doctor, lawyer, or other responsible persons.

Simultaneous Action by Families and Chapter Approved

Frequently members of the serviceman's family ask a chapter to help in arranging for emergency furlough when they believe conditions at home require his presence. The practice of relatives initiating the request for emergency furlough, and the chapter immediately verifying the circumstances to the field director, has been endorsed by many commanding officers as a means of expediting the granting of the furlough.

If a member of a serviceman's family makes the initial request to the chapter, he should be advised to notify the serviceman immediately of the situation and the need for the man's presence at home. At the same time the Home Service worker should inquire into the situation, as reported by the family, giving specific attention to the special needs which the family has stated. The Home Service worker then should immediately telegraph the full statement of the facts to the Field Director serving the station where the man is located. This procedure will enable the field director to have the chapter's report

of the Port of Embarkation or commanding officer at his base station if so, date of contact.

4. Statement of circumstances which appear to warrant extension

report regarding the home situation for the consideration of the commanding officer at the time the serviceman makes his request to the commanding officer for the furlough. It is desirable that two telegrams be sent - one to the serviceman from his family and one from the chapter to the field director.

Request by Cable

Families may send cable messages of their own composition to many places outside the continental United States through the facilities of the commercial telegraph and cable companies. Where there are no commercial facilities available so that the family is unable to notify the serviceman, the chapter Home Service worker should telegraph Home Service Correspondence Unit at national headquarters describing the situation, asking consideration of furlough request, and stating that no commercial facilities are available to notify the serviceman.

Preparing and Paying for Messages.

The Home Service worker should offer to prepare the family's telegram or cable and assume the cost of the message, if the family wishes and needs such aid. It is further suggested that the family state in their telegram that the Red Cross chapter is simultaneously telegraphing the field director.

Acting by Field Director.

Usually it will not be necessary for field directors to confirm with the chapter worker the date the furlough is to be granted. In certain situations where the family particularly needs this information, as in arranging for a funeral, the chapter should request the field director to notify them by telegraph whether the furlough was approved, and if so, when the serviceman may be expected to arrive.

EXTENSION OF FURLOUGH

If a serviceman is on furlough and circumstances arise, such as his own serious illness, which make it impossible for him to return to his station before his furlough expires, he must obtain an extension of furlough from the commanding officer. The serviceman himself makes the request unless he is too ill or incapacitated, in which case a member of his family or his physician should request the extension directly of the commanding officer. The request must be made sufficiently in advance to allow a man to return before the expiration of the furlough if the extension is denied. Serviceman should be advised that if a reply authorizing the extension is not received, he must plan to leave in time to reach his destination ~~turn, he must report them at once to his commanding officer before~~ before the expiration of the furlough. If circumstances arise preventing his return, he must report them at once to his commanding officer.

1. Extensions from domestic stations

a. At the request of the serviceman or members of his family, the Home Service worker should immediately verify the

report regarding the home situation for the consideration of commanding officer for he furlough. It is desirable that two

circumstances necessitating application for extension of furlough. The worker should then send a full explanatory telegram verifying these circumstances to the field director at the same time the serviceman is making his request to the commanding officer. This expedites the clearing between the commanding officer and the field director.

b. The commanding officer usually sends his permission or refusal to the serviceman directly. If the man desires an answer by wire he must authorize a return message "collect", as commanding officers have no funds for such wires. If there is a question of the serviceman receiving his message in time, the field director may telegraph a confirmation of the commanding officer's decision, stating that the commanding officer is communicating with the serviceman. In these instances, the field director should give the serviceman the original telegram to carry with him in case he is challenged, so he can prove he is not A.W.O.L. The chapter may ask the telegraph company for an extra copy for file.

the commanding officer a copy of his telegram to the chapter.
2. Extension from overseas stations

a. If the station to which the serviceman is to return is located outside the continental United States, he must apply for an extension of furlough to the commanding officer at the port of embarkation to which he must return for transportation, as stated on his furlough paper. The Home Service worker should ask for and read the serviceman's orders in order to aid him regarding the extension of furlough. The Home Service worker should immediately verify the circumstances and telegraph the full facts to the field director.

b. In all situations, time must be allowed for receiving a reply so that the serviceman will have sufficient time to return to the port of embarkation. If time for an answer has been allowed and no answer has been received, the Home Service worker may telegraph details to the Home Service Correspondence Unit at national headquarters. In very urgent circumstances, Home Service at national headquarters may sometimes obtain necessary authority for extension of furlough through War or Navy Department channels. Thirty-six hours must be allowed for handling such requests in this manner.

ROUTING AND ADDRESSING TELEGRAMS AND CABLES.

SAF 201 has full instructions for communications. It may be emphasized that chapters should telegraph to the Home Service Correspondence Unit at national headquarters, for relay of message by cable or radio to field director outside continental United States.

Requests regarding Navy personnel not stationed within the United States should be directed to the Bureau of Naval Affairs, American Red Cross, and they will be relayed to the commanding officer by

the quickest means available.

Families using commerical facilities for cabling servicemen overseas must be sure that the communication is properly addressed. Commerical companies will be able to furnish directions. Details regarding addressing such communications are given in Advance and Supplemental Letters NO. 130, paragraph 4, and NO. 131, paragraph 5.

(Miss) Ella B. Watland
Director Home Service

Approved:

A. L. Schafer
Manager